

CHLOROTHALONIL (081)

EXPLANATION

Chlorothalonil was first evaluated in 1974. Further data were assessed in 1977, 1978, 1979, 1981, 1983, 1985, 1987, 1988 and 1990. The ADI of 0.03 mg/kg was confirmed in 1992.

This evaluation has been prepared as part of the periodic review programme of the CCPR. Information on current GAP and residue trials data have been provided by the manufacturer. A number of countries have also supplied information on GAP.

USE PATTERN

Chlorothalonil is a non-systemic protectant fungicide. Products containing chlorothalonil are used as surface contact fungicides on a range of agricultural and horticultural crops.

Registered uses in various countries are summarized in Table 1, to which the following notes apply (see also the footnotes at the end of Table 1).

1. Crops are listed alphabetically.
2. Only commodities for which data from supervised trials are available are included in the list.

Table 1. Summary of GAP in the use of chlorothalonil in various countries.

| Crop | Country | Application | | | PHI, days |
|--------|------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|----------------------|
| | | No. | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | |
| Banana | Australia | - | 1.1-2.15 | - | 1 |
| | USA | - | 0.875-1.625 | - | 0 |
| Barley | Belgium | 1 | 1.0 | 0.17-0.34 | 42 |
| | Denmark | 1 | 1.0-1.4 | 0.33-0.47 | (GS 45) ¹ |
| | France | 2 | 1.1 | - | - |
| | Ireland | 1-2 | 0.9-1.35 | 0.45-0.68 | (GS 59) ¹ |
| | Luxembourg | 1 | 1.0 | 0.17-0.33 | 42 |
| | UK | 1-2 | 0.9-1.35 | 0.45-0.68 | (GS 59) ¹ |

chlorothalonil

| Crop | Country | Application | | | PHI, days |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|----------|----------------|
| | | No. | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | |
| Beans | Australia | - | 1-1.5 | - | 7 |
| | Greece | - | - | 0.225 | 42 |
| | Ireland | 2 | 1.5 | - | 14 |
| | Italy | - | 1.0 | - | 14 |
| Beans contd. | Spain | - | 1.5 | 0.15 | 15 |
| | UK | 2 | 1.5 | - | 14 |
| | USA | 4 | 1.2-2.5 | - | 7 |
| Broccoli | Australia | - | 2.5 | - | 3 |
| | Canada | - | 1.25-2.45 | - | 7 |
| | UK | 2 | 1.5 | - | 7 |
| | USA | - | 1.7 | - | 7 |
| Brussels sprouts | Australia | - | 2.5 | - | 3 |
| | Canada | - | 1.25-2.15 | - | 7 |
| | Ireland | - | 1.5 | - | 7 |
| | Netherlands | - | 1.5 | - | 14 |
| | UK | 2 | 1.5 | - | 7 |
| | USA | - | 1.7 | - | 7 |
| Bulb onion | Australia | - | 1.7 | - | 14 |
| | Denmark | 4-5 | 2.0 | 0.2-0.7 | 14 |
| | France | - | 1.5 | - | - |
| | Greece | - | - | 0.144 | 10 |
| | Ireland | 6 | 1.0 | - | 14 |
| | Italy | - | 1.5 | - | 14 |
| | Netherlands | - | 1.5 | - | 14 |
| | Spain | - | 1.5 | - | 15 |
| | UK | 6 | 1.0 | - | 14 |
| USA | - | 0.8-1.7 | - | 7 | |
| Cabbage | Australia | - | 2.5 | - | 3 |
| | Canada | - | 1.25-2.45 | - | 7 |
| | France | - | 1.5 | - | - ² |
| | Greece | - | 1.4 | - | 10 |

chlorothalonil

| Crop | Country | Application | | | PHI, days |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| | | No. | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | |
| | Ireland | 2 | 1.5 | - | 7 |
| | UK | 2 | 1.5 | - | 7 |
| | USA | - | 1.3 | - | 7 |
| Carrot | Australia | - | 1.3 | - | 7 |
| | Canada | - | 0.8-2.06 | - | 7 |
| | Spain | - | - | 0.12-0.15 | 15 |
| | USA | - | 1.3-1.7 | - | 0 |
| Cauliflower | Australia | - | 2.5 | - | 3 |
| | Canada | - | 1.25-2.45 | - | 7 |
| | Greece | - | - | 0.225 | 10 |
| | Ireland | - | 1.5 | - | 7 |
| | UK | 2 | 1.5 | - | 7 |
| | USA | - | 1.3 | - | 7 |
| Celery | Australia | - | 1.3 | - | 2 |
| | Canada | - | 0.8-2.06 | - | 7 |
| | Greece | - | - | 0.15 | 10 outdoors |
| | Italy | - | 1.5 | - | 14 |
| | Netherlands | - | 1.875 | - | 28 |
| | Spain | - | - | 0.125-0.15 | 15 |
| | UK | - | 1.5 | 0.14-0.15 | 14 |
| | USA | - | 0.8-2.5 | - | 7 |
| Cherry | Australia | - | 2.3 | 0.12 | 7 |
| | USA | 4 | 2.6-4.6 | - | shuck fall ³ |
| Cranberry | USA | 3 | 3.4-5.9 | - | 50 |
| Cucumber | Australia | - | 1.8 | - | 1 |
| | Canada | - | 2.4 | - | 1 |
| | Denmark | - | 1.25 outdoor | 0.15 glasshouse | 3 |
| | France | - | 1.5 | - | - |
| | Ireland | - | 1.1 | 0.11 | 28 |
| | Italy | - | 1.5 | - | 14 |
| | Netherlands | - | - | 0.15 | 3 |

chlorothalonil

| Crop | Country | Application | | | PHI, days |
|--------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------------|
| | | No. | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | |
| | UK | - | - | 0.11 | 28 |
| | USA | - | 1.3-2.5 | - | 0 |
| Grapes | Australia | - | 1.6 | | 7 |
| | France | - | 0.4 | - | 30 |
| | Greece | - | - | 0.225 | 10 |
| Melons | Australia | - | 2.5 | - | 3 |
| | Canada | - | 2.4 | - | 1 |
| | France | - | 1-1.6 | 0.11-0.15 | 7 |
| | Greece | - | | 0.15 | 10 |
| | Italy | - | 1.5 | - | 14 |
| | Netherlands | - | - | 0.15 | 3 |
| | USA | - | 1.3-2.5 | - | 0 |
| Peach | Australia | - | 2.3 | 0.12 | 7 |
| | Greece | - | 1.5 | - | 14 |
| | Italy | - | 1.0 | - | 21 |
| | Spain | - | 1.5 | - | 15 |
| | USA | 4 | 2.6-4.6 | - | shuck fall ³ |
| Peanut | Australia | | 1.3 | | 0 |
| | USA | | 0.8-1.3 | | 14 |
| Potato | Australia | - | 1.3 | - | - |
| | Belgium | - | 1.1-1.5 | 0.19-0.36 | 7 |
| | Canada | - | 0.6-1.3 | - | 1 |
| | Denmark | 10 | 1.25-1.75 | 0.3-0.4 | 14 |
| | France | - | 1-1.5 | 0.15-0.44 | - |
| | Greece | - | - | 0.15 | 10 |
| | Ireland | - | 1.5 | - | 7 |
| | Italy | - | 1.5 | - | 14 |
| | Luxembourg | - | 1.5 | - | 7 |
| | Netherlands | - | 0.6-2.2 | - | 3 |
| | Portugal | - | 1.5 | - | 7 |
| | Spain | - | 1.5 | 0.12-0.15 | 15 |

chlorothalonil

| Crop | Country | Application | | | PHI, days |
|------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------|------------|--------------|
| | | No. | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | |
| | UK | - | 1.5 | - | 0 |
| Potato cont. | USA | 8 | 0.6-1.3 | - | 7 |
| Sugar beet | Greece | - | 1.5 | - | 14 |
| Summer & winter squash | Australia | - | 1.8 | - | 1 |
| | Canada | - | 2.4 | - | 1 |
| | Greece | - | - | 0.15 | 10 |
| | USA | - | 1.3-2.5 | - | 0 |
| Sweet corn | USA | - | 0.6-1.7 | - | 14 |
| Tomato | Australia | - | 1.7 | - | 1 outdoors |
| | Belgium | - | 1.4-2 | - | 3 |
| | Canada | - | 2.4 | - | 1 |
| | France | - | 1-1.6 | 0.11-0.15 | 7 |
| | Greece | - | - | 0.15 | 10 |
| | Ireland | - | 1.1 | - | 3 glasshouse |
| | Italy | - | 1.5 | - | 14 |
| | Luxembourg | - | 1.5-2 | - | 3 |
| | Netherlands | 2 | 1.9-3.8 | 0.15 | 3 |
| | Portugal | - | - | 0.125-0.15 | 7 |
| | Spain | - | 1.5 | 0.125-0.15 | 15 |
| | UK | - | 1.1 | 0.11 | 12 hours |
| | USA | - | 1.2-2.5 | - | 0 |

chlorothalonil

| | | | | | |
|-------|-------------------|-----|-----------|-----------|------------------------|
| Wheat | Belgium | 2 | 1-1.25 | 0.17-0.42 | 42 |
| | Canada (proposed) | 1 | 0.75-1.25 | - | 30 |
| | Denmark | 1 | 1-1.25 | 0.33-0.42 | 30 |
| | France | 2-3 | 1.1-1.2 | - | - |
| | Germany | 1 | 0.7-1.1 | 0.18-0.28 | 35-42 |
| | Ireland | 1 | 1.0 | - | (GS 59) ^{1,2} |
| | Luxembourg | - | 1.25 | - | 42 |
| | Netherlands | 2 | 1.0-1.2 | - | 42 |
| | Spain | 1 | 1.5 | 0.15 | 15 |
| | UK | 1 | 1.0 | - | (GS 59) ¹ |

¹ Zadoks Growth Stage: GS 59 = ear emergence complete
GS 45 = boot swollen

² Only used before transplanting

³ Latest time of treatment

RESIDUES RESULTING FROM SUPERVISED TRIALS

Extensive data were submitted for a range of crops. The trials were carried out in the USA, Australia and Europe.

The residue data are summarized in Tables 2-26.

| | |
|----------|--------------------------------------|
| Table 2 | Cherries |
| Table 3 | Peaches |
| Table 4 | Cranberries |
| Table 5 | Grapes |
| Table 6 | Bananas |
| Table 7 | Bulb onions |
| Table 8 | Cabbages |
| Table 9 | Broccoli |
| Table 10 | Brussels sprouts |
| Table 11 | Cauliflower |
| Table 12 | Melons |
| Table 13 | Cucumbers |
| Table 14 | Summer and winter squash, Sweet corn |
| Table 15 | Tomatoes |
| Table 16 | Beans |
| Table 17 | Carrots |
| Table 18 | Potatoes |
| Table 19 | Sugar beet |
| Table 20 | Celery |
| Table 21 | Barley |
| Table 22 | Wheat |
| Table 23 | Oats and Rye |
| Table 24 | Peanuts |

In the Tables each location listed in the left hand column represents a different site or site year. Where two or more figures appear in the 'residue' column for a particular location they represent results for separate field samples. Where reports listed replicate analytical results these are represented in the Table by their mean.

Reported residues are not corrected for recovery.

Underlined results in these Tables are those referred to in the text which are from trials where treatment regimes most closely reflected the GAP that was likely to lead to the highest residue.

Several samples were also analysed for 4-hydroxy-2,5,6-trichloroisophthalonitrile (SDS-3701, DAC-3701), 3-carboxy-2,5,6-trichlorobenzamide (SDS-46851, DAC-46851), hexachlorobenzene (HCB), and pentachlorobenzonitrile (PCBN). Residues were not found or were very low.

Cherries (Table 2). Residue data were available from a series of trials in the USA, where the maximum permitted application is 4.6 kg ai/ha at shuck fall. Chlorothalonil residues of <0.03-0.52 mg/kg were found where the use was within US GAP.

Table 2. Residues of chlorothalonil in cherries treated with an SC formulation from supervised residue trials in the USA. Last treatments were made at shuck (cot) fall

| Crop Location/Year | Application | | PHI, days | Residues (mg/kg) | Ref. |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-----|--------------|---------------------|------|
| | kg ai/ha | No. | | | |
| Sweet Cherry Oregon/1981 | 3.5 | 4 | 76 | 0.25, 0.27 | 1 |
| | 4.7 | 4 | 76 | <u>0.44</u> | |
| Tart Cherry Oregon/1981 | 3.5 | 4 | 73 | 0.04, <0.03 | 1 |
| | 4.7 | 4 | 72 | <u>0.04</u> | |
| Sweet Cherry N York/1981 | 3.5 | 2 | 62 | 0.03, <0.03(2) | 1 |
| | 4.7 | 2 | 62 | <u><0.03</u> (3) | |
| Tart Cherry N York./1981 | 4.7 | 3 | 55 | 0.03 | 1 |
| | 5.9 | 3 | 55 | 0.03 | |
| Sweet Cherry Michigan/1982 | 4.7 | 4 | 45 | 0.52 | 2 |
| | | | | <u>0.38, 0.11</u> | |
| Sweet Cherry N York 1982 | 4.7 | 5 | 54 | 0.06, 0.05, 0.09 | 2 |
| | | | | | |
| | 9.4 | 5 | 54 | 0.12, 0.22, 0.09 | 2 |
| Tart Cherry Michigan/1984 | 3.5 | 4 | 50 | 0.06 | 3 |

Underlined results reflect maximum permitted use in the USA.

Peaches (Table 3). In supervised trials from the USA residues in peaches were in the range <0.05-0.12 mg/kg when the treatment regime reflected maximum use within US GAP, which is a last application of 4.6 kg ai/ha at shuck (cot) fall. Residues of 0.57 and 0.98 mg/kg were reported in a trial in Italy under conditions within the official GAP of Spain and Greece (1.5 kg ai/ha and 14-15 days PHI). One of these results (0.98 mg/kg) was reported for a crop treated in accordance with the maximum permitted use in Italy (1.0 kg ai/ha and 21-day PHI).

Table 3. Residues of chlorothalonil in peaches from supervised trials in Italy, Spain and the USA.

| Location/ year | Form. | Application | | No. | Date of last treatment (day/month) | PHI (days) | Residue (mg/kg) | Ref |
|-------------------|-------|-------------|----------|-----|--|---------------|--------------------|-----|
| | | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | | | |
| Italy, 1990 | WP | 0.84 | 0.1 | 2 | - | 21 | <u>0.18</u> | 10 |
| Italy, 1990 | WP | 1.7 | 0.2 | 2 | - | 21 | <u>0.57</u> | 11 |
| | | 0.82 | 0.1 | 3 | - | 21 | 0.14 | 11 |
| Italy, 1990 | SC | 1.0 | 0.04 | 4 | 8/7 | 21 | <u>0.98</u> | 12 |
| | | 2.0 | 0.09 | 4 | 8/7 | 21 | <u>1.32</u> | 12 |
| Italy, 1990 | WP | 1.5 | 0.1 | 3 | shuck fall (15/4) | 64 | <0.01(2) | 13 |
| Italy, 1990 | DG | 1.25 | 0.09 | 3 | shuck fall 15/4 | 64 | <0.01(2) | 14 |

chlorothalonil

| Location/ year | Form. | Application | | No. | Date of last treatment (day/month) | PHI (days) | Residue (mg/kg) | Ref |
|--|-------|----------------------------|--------------|--------|--|---------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| | | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | | | |
| Spain, 1990 | WP | 2.0 +2.6 | 0.11 0.15 | 1 | 25/4 | 61 | 0.16 | 15 |
| Spain 1990 | WP | 2.0 +2.6 | 0.11 0.15 | 1 | 25/4 | 83 | 0.01 | 16 |
| Spain 1990 | WP | 2.0 +2.6 | 0.11 0.15 | 1 1 | 25/4 | 155 | 0.02 | 17 |
| Spain 1990 | SC | 0.5 | - | 4 | shuck fall (1/4) | 82 | <0.01 | 18 |
| | | 0.75 | - | 4 | shuck fall (1/4) | 82 | ≤0.01 | 18 |
| | | 1.25 | - | 4 | shuck fall (1/4) | 82 | ≤0.01 | 18 |
| Spain 1991 | SC | 0.5 | - | 4 | shuck fall (23/3) | 69 | ≤0.01 | 19 |
| | | 0.75 | - | 4 | shuck fall (23./3) | 69 | ≤0.01 | 19 |
| | | 1.25 | - | 4 | shuck fall (23/3) | 69 | 0.01 | 19 |
| USA, California 1979 USA, California 1979 USA, Oregon 1978/9 | SC | 5.0 | - | 2 | 23/3 | 136 | <0.05(3) | 4 |
| | | 9.4 | - | 2 | 23/3 | 136 | <0.05(3) | 4 |
| | SC | 5.0 | - | 2 | 23/3 | 158 | <0.05(3) | 4 |
| | | 9.4 | - | 2 | 23/3 | 158 | <0.05(3) | 4 |
| | SC | 5.3 | - | 1 | 4/10 | 299 | <0.05 | 4 |
| | | 10.5 | - | 1 | 4/10 | 299 | <0.05 | 4 |
| | | 4.7 | - | 2 | 15/1 | 197 | <0.05 | 4 |
| | | 9.4 | - | 2 | 15/1 | 197 | <0.05 | 4 |
| | | 3.5 | -- | 3 | 18/4 | 131 | <0.05 | 4 |
| 4.7 | | - | 3 | 18/4 | 131 | <0.05 | 4 | |
| USA, Washington 1989 | | SC | 5.9 | - | 3 | 20/4 | 117 | 0.90,0.08 0.06 |
| USA, Louisiana 1979 | SC | 2.2 | - | 1 | | 81 | <0.05 | 4 |
| | | 3.5 | - | 1 | | 81 | <0.05 | 4 |
| | | 2.2 | - | 3 | | 58 | <0.05 | 4 |
| USA, Louisiana 1978 | SC | 3.5 | - | 3 | | 58 | <0.05 | 4 |
| | | 0.9 | - | 12 | 16/6 | 12 | 0.28 | 4 |
| | | 1.4 | - | 12 | 16/6 | 12 | 0.76 | 4 |
| USA, California 1980 | SC | 1.8 | - | 12 | 16/6 | 12 | 1.00 | 4 |
| | | 9.4 | - | 2 | 6/3 | 152 | <0.03(2), 0.03 | 5 |
| USA, California 1980 | SC | 9.4 | - | 3 | 10/3 | 133 | <0.03(6) | 5 |
| | | USA, Washington 1980 | SC | 5.9 | - | 3 | 13/5 | 89 |
| 11.7 | - | | | 3 | 13/5 | 89 | 0.19,0.20 0.28 | 5 |
| USA, California 1980 | SC | 4.7 | - | 2 | 12/3 | 106 | <0.03(3) | 5 |
| | | 9.4 | - | 2 | 12/3 | 106 | <0.03(3) | 5 |
| USA, California 1980 | SC | 4.7 | - | 2 | 5/3 | 146 | <0.03 (4) | 5 |
| | | 9.4 | - | 2 | 5/3 | 146 | <0.03(4) | 5 |
| USA, California 1981 | SC | 3.5 | - | 1 | 26/2 | 183 | <0.03(3) | 6 |
| | | 4.7 | - | 1 | 26/2 | 183 | <0.03(3) | 6 |
| | | 4.7 | - | 1 | 26/2 | 177 | <0.03(3) | 6 |
| USA, Oregon 1981 | SC | 2.7 | - | 5 | 14/4 | 147 | <0.03(2) | 6 |
| | | 3.5 | - | 5 | 14/4 | 147 | <0.03(2) | 6 |
| USA, California 1981 | SC | 3.5 | - | 4 | 9/3 | 139 | <0.03(3) | 6 |
| | | 4.7 | - | 4 | 9/3 | 139 | <0.03(3) | 6 |
| USA, California 1981 | SC | 4.7 | | 1 | 4/2 | 149 | <0.03 | 6 |
| | | | | 2 | 4/2 | 149 | <0.03 | 6 |
| | | | | 1 | 20/2 | 133 | <0.03 | 6 |
| | | | | 3 | 20/2 | 133 | <0.03 | 6 |
| USA, Washington 1981 | SC | 5.9 | - | 3 | 9/5 | 86 | <0.03, 0.06 0.03 | 6 |
| | | 11.7 | - | 3 | 9/5 | 86 | 0.14, 0.04,0.09 | 6 |

| Location/ year | Form. | Application | | No. | Date of last treatment (day/month) | PHI (days) | Residue (mg/kg) | Ref |
|----------------------------|-------|------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|--|----------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
| | | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | | | |
| USA, California 1983 | SC | 3.5* | - | 3 | petal fall (28/2) | 100 | <0.03 | 7 |
| USA California 1983 | SC | 3.5 3.5 | - - | 5 5 | - - | 122 122 | <0.03 <0.03 | 7 7 |
| USA Louisiana 1985 | SC | 2.7 + 7.0 | - | 2 1 | shuck fall (9/4) | 84 | 0.03,0.02 | 8 |
| USA, Florida 1985 | SC | 3.0 + 9.1 | - | 4 1 | shuck fall (18/3) | 84 | 0.03(2) | 8 |
| USA, N Carolina 1986 | SC | 1.8 + 4.7 | - | 4 1 | shuck fall (8/4) | 91 | <u>0.01,0.02</u> | 8 |
| USA, Ohio 1987 | SC | 2.4 2.4 + 4.7 2.4 +4.7 | - - - - | 6 4 +1 5 +1 | first cover shuck fall first cover | 63 73 63 | 0.09 <u>0.08</u> 0.16 | 9 9 9 |
| USA, Virginia 1987 | SC | 2.4 +4.7 2.4 +4.7 | - - - | 4 +1 3 +1 | first cover shuck fall | 88 96 | 0.27 <u>0.12</u> | 0 9 |
| USA, Louisiana 1988 | SC | 2.4 +4.7 | - | 2 +1 | shuck fall | 70 | <u>0.02</u> | 9 |
| USA N Carolina 1987 | SC | 2.4 +4.7 | - | 1 +2 | shuck fall | 113 | <u>0.02</u> | 9 |
| USA, N Carolina 1987 | SC | 2.4 +4.7 | - | 1 +1 | shuck fall | 84 | <0.01 | 9 |

Results underlined once reflect maximum permitted use in the USA.

Results underlined twice reflect use within GAP in Spain and Greece; two of these results reflect maximum permitted use in Italy.

Cranberries (Table 4). GAP has only been reported for the USA, where a number of residue trials have been carried out. Although only three trials combined the highest allowed application rate with approximately the minimum PHI, the data base included other relevant trials which used 80% of the maximum rate and the minimum PHI. Residues in mature berries sampled from relevant trials were 0.67-4.1 mg/kg.

Table 4. Residues of chlorothalonil in cranberries in supervised residue trials in the USA. All products used were SCs.

| Location, year | Application | | PHI, days | Residue (mg/kg) | Reference |
|-------------------|-------------|-----|--------------|--------------------|-----------|
| | kg ai/ha | No. | | | |
| Washington, 1982 | 2.35 | 2 | 56 | 0.38 | 20 |
| | 2.35 | 2 | 70 | 0.11 | 20 |
| | 4.7 | 2 | 56 | 2.9 | 20 |
| | 4.7 | 2 | 70 | <u>0.69</u> | 20 |
| Washington, 1983 | 5.9 | 4 | 54 | <u>4.1</u> | 20 |
| N Jersey, 1984 | 5.9 | 3 | 49 | 1.3 | 20 |
| | 5.9 | 3 | 49 | <u>0.67</u> | 20 |
| Wisconsin, 1988 | 3.5 | 3 | 83 | 0.12 | 21 |
| Wisconsin, 1988 | 3.5 | 3 | 91 | 0.04 | 21 |

chlorothalonil

| Location, year | Application | | PHI, days | Residue (mg/kg) | Reference |
|---------------------|-------------|-----|--------------|--------------------|-----------|
| | kg ai/ha | No. | | | |
| Massachusetts, 1988 | 4.6 | 3 | 50 | <u>0.77</u> | 21 |
| Washington, 1985 | 5.9 | 3 | 60 | <u>3.67</u> | 21 |

Underlined results are from uses similar to the maximum permitted use in the USA.

Grapes (Table 5). Products containing chlorothalonil are registered for use on grapes in Australia and a number of European countries. Maximum application rates range from 0.4 to 2.2 kg ai/ha and minimum PHIs are between 7 and 30 days. Table 5 includes new data and data previously considered by the 1983 JMPR.

In supervised trials carried out in Australia residues were 0.3-5.6 mg/kg for treatments at 0.11-0.15 kg ai/hl after PHIs of 7-28 days. The maximum permitted use in Australia is 1.6 kg ai/ha (equivalent to 0.16 kg ai/hl) with a PHI of 7 days.

Residue levels were 0.01-0.11 mg/kg in trials carried out in France which reflected the maximum permitted use in France (0.4 kg ai/ha and a PHI of 30 days).

Table 5. Residues of chlorothalonil in grapes from supervised trials in Australia, Canada, France, Germany and South Africa.

| Location/year | Form. | Application | | No. | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref |
|--------------------------------------|-------|-------------|----------------------|-------------|--------------------|--|--------------|
| | | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | | |
| Australia Hunter Valley 1973/4 | WP | - | 0.11 | 7 | -1 0 10 | 3.9 6.1, 7.1 <u>5.6 (8.6)¹</u> | 1983 JMPR |
| | WP | - | 0.22 | 7 | -1 0 10 | 6.8 10.7 8.7 (13.4) ¹ | 1983 JMPR |
| S. Australia 1973/4 | WP | - | 0.13 | 6 | 1 7 18 26 | 1.4 0.6 <u>1.6 (2.9)¹</u> 0.6, 0.3 | 1983 JMPR |
| S. Australia 1973/4 | WP | - | 0.26 | 6 | 1 7 18 26 | 2.3 3.1 <u>2.7 (4.9)¹</u> 0.8 | 1983 JMPR |
| N. Australia 1991/2 | SC | - | 0.15 0.15 0.15 | 7 5 3 | 28 77 113 | 0.6 0.04 <0.01 | 22 |
| Australia Hunter Valley 1990/1 | SC | | 0.15 | 7 6 4 | 15 30 66 | 1.4 0.50 0.20 | 23 |
| Australia Langhorne Creek 1991 | SC | - | 0.15 0.15 0.15 | 6 5 3 | 19 63 111 | <u>2.3</u> <0.02 <0.02 | 24 |
| Canada Ontario 1979 | WP | 1.65 | 5 | 5 | 40 | 0.28, 0.26 <0.01 (2) | 1983 JMPR |
| | WP | 1.65 | - | 4 | 30 | 1.9, 1.6 | 1983 JMPR |
| | WP | 1.65 | - | 3 2 1 | 30 30 30 | 3.8, 4.1 0.54 0.63, 1.0, 1.2 | 1983 JMPR |

chlorothalonil

| Location/year | Form. | Application | | No. | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref |
|------------------|-------|-----------------------|-------------|--------------|--|--|----------------------------------|
| | | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | | |
| France 1983 | SC | 0.35 | - | 7 | 61 | 0.02 | 25 |
| | SC | 0.175 0.35 0.7 | - - - | 7 7 7 | 61 61 61 | <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 | 25 |
| France 1984 | SC | 0.7 | - | 6 | 24 | 0.08 | |
| France 1984 | SC | 0.175 0.35 0.46 | | 9 9 9 | 29 29 29 | 0.01 <u>0.01</u> <u>0.03</u> | |
| France 1986 | SC | 0.75 | - | 5 | 40 | 0.02 (2) 0.01 (2) | |
| France, 1987 | SC | 0.35 | - | 1 | 0 7 14 21 29 | 0.44 0.22 0.10 0.03 <u>0.02</u> | 30 |
| France, 1987 | SC | 0.35 | - | 1 | 0 7 14 21 28 42 | 0.50 0.28 0.09 0.06 <u>0.02</u> <0.01 | 31 |
| France, 1987 | SC | 0.35 | - | 1 | 0 7 14 21 30 | 0.50 0.32 0.18 0.05 <u>0.11</u> | 32 |
| France, 1987 | SC | 0.35 | - | 8 | 34 | <u>0.02</u> | 33 |
| France, 1987 | SC | 0.35 | - | 7 | 27 | <u>0.01</u> | 34 |
| France, 1987 | SC | 0.46 | - | 3 | 21 | <u>0.39</u> | 35 |
| France, 1987 | SC | 0.46 | - | 4 | 22 | 0.43 | 36 |
| France, 1987 | SC | 0.46 | - | 4 | 15 | 0.44 | 37 |
| France, 1987 | SC | 0.35 | - | 5 | 44 | 0.04 | 38 |
| France, 1987 | SC | 0.35 | - | 10 | 8 | 0.11, 0.02 | 39 |
| France, 1987 | SC | 0.35 | - | 8 | 15 | 0.85 | 40 |
| France, 1987 | SC | 0.35 | - | 8 | 34 | <u>0.02</u> | 41 |
| France, 1987 | SC | 0.35 | - | 7 | 27 | <u>0.02</u> | |
| Germany, 1973 | - | 1.5 | - | 8 | 2 51 | 2.7 0.38 | 1983 JMPR |
| Germany, 1974 | - | 2.2 + 2.9 2.9 | - - | 6+2 8 | 0 21 28 35 42 0 21 28 35 42 | 26 17 8.0 7.0 4.2 28 14 8.0 4.8 6.7 | 1983 JMPR 1983 JMPR |
| Germany 1974 | - | 1.75 | - | 6 | 0 21 28 35 42 | 3.7 1.1 0.55 0.4 0.23 | 1983 JMPR |
| | - | 2.33 | - | 6 | 0 21 28 35 42 | 5.2 2.2 1.1 0.72 0.21 | 1983 JMPR |
| Germany 1975 | - | - | 0.15 + 0.2 | 8+2 | 0 21 28 35 | 3.8 0.27 0.62 0.63 | 1983 JMPR |

chlorothalonil

| Location/year | Form. | Application | | No. | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref |
|----------------------|-------|-------------|-------------|-----|---------------------------|---|--------------|
| | | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | | |
| | | | | | 42 | 0.59 | |
| Germany 1975 | - | - | 0.15 + 0.18 | 8+2 | 0 21 27 35 42 | 1.9 0.49 0.76 0.52 0.76 | 1983 JMPR |
| Germany, 1975 | - | - | 0.15 + 0.2 | 6+4 | 0 21 35 43 | 2.4 1.1 1.8 2.0 | 1983 JMPR |
| S Africa, 1979/80 | SC | 1.5 | - | 8 | 1 2 4 16 32 | 18,21 12,13 3.6,3.5 2.7,2.5 2.6,2.3 | |

(Notes on next page)

¹Figures in parentheses are corrected for recovery (64% Hunter Valley, 55% S Australia)
Results underlined once are from maximum permitted use in Australia.
Results underlined twice are from maximum permitted use in France.

Bananas (Table 6). Supervised trials carried out in Latin America and Australia included application rates similar to the maximum approved in the USA and Australia. Residue levels in treated bananas sampled 0-3 days after treatment were <0.01-2 mg/kg.

Table 6. Residues of chlorothalonil in bananas from supervised trials in Australia, Colombia, Costa Rica, Mexico and Panama.

| Location/ year | Form. | Application kg ai/ha | No. | PHI, days | Residue (mg/kg) | Ref |
|--------------------|-------|-------------------------|-----|---------------|--------------------------------|-----|
| N. Australia, 1978 | SC | 1.1 | 10 | 1 14 28 | 0.6 0.44 0.03 | 45 |
| | | 2.2 | 10 | 1 14 28 | 2.0 0.10 0.09 | 45 |
| Colombia, 1985 | SC | 1.5 | 11 | 3 | <0.01(6) | 44 |
| Costa Rica, 1985 | SC | 1.75 | 10 | 6 | 0.02,0.03(2) 0.11,0.12,0.10 | 44 |
| Mexico, 1984/5 | WP | 1.1-1.5 | 13 | 2 | <0.01(6) | 44 |
| Panama, 1978 | SC | 1.3 | 8 | 0 | <0.01(4) | 43 |

Underlined results reflect maximum permitted use in Australia.

Bulb onions (Table 7). Products containing chlorothalonil are registered for use in the USA, Australia and several European countries. Maximum application rates range from 1.0 to 2.25 kg ai/ha and minimum pre-harvest intervals are from 7 to 28 days.

In UK trials where treatments were within Danish GAP, up to 2.0 kg ai/ha and a minimum 14-day PHI, residues were <0.01-0.1 mg/kg. In US trials where treatments reflected US GAP at 1.7 kg

ai/ha and a minimum 7-day PHI, residues were 0.02-0.06 mg/kg.

Table 7. Residues in bulb onions following treatment with chlorothalonil.

| Location/ year | Form. | Application | | PHI, days | Residue (mg/kg) | Ref |
|-------------------|-------|-------------|-----|--------------|----------------------------|-----|
| | | kg ai/ha | no. | | | |
| Australia, 1982 | SC | 1.5 | 3 | 41 | 0.1 | 48 |
| | | | 6 | 27 | <u><0.1</u> | 38 |
| Canada, 1987 | SC | 1.6-1.8 | 3 | 0 | 0.16 | 58 |
| | | | | 1 | 0.07 | |
| | | | | 3 | 0.04 | |
| | | | | 7 | 0.02 | |
| | | | | 10 | <0.01 | |
| 14 | <0.01 | | | | | |
| Denmark, 1981 | SC | 2.0 | 5 | 14 | <u>0.04</u> | 50 |
| Denmark, 1981 | SC | 1.25 | 1 | 14 | <0.01 | 51 |
| | | | | 21 | <0.01 | |
| Italy, 1990 | SC | 1.5 | 2 | 14 | <0.01 | 52 |
| | | 3.0 | 2 | 14 | <0.01 | 52 |
| Italy, 1990 | SC | 1.5 | 2 | 14 | <0.01 | 53 |
| | | 3.0 | 2 | 14 | <0.01 | 53 |
| Netherlands, 1981 | WP | 1.5 | 7 | 7 | 0.34 | 54 |
| | | | 6 | 14 | 0.25 | |
| | | | 5 | 21 | 0.19 | |
| | | | 4 | 28 | 0.10 | |
| Netherlands, 1981 | WP | 1.5 | 7 | 7 | 0.57 | 55 |
| | | | 6 | 14 | 0.52 | |
| | | | 5 | 21 | 0.52 | |
| | | | 4 | 28 | 0.28 | |
| UK, 1990 | SC | 1.0 | 6 | 2 | 0.02(2), 0.03(2) | 6 |
| | | 1.5 | 6 | 2 | 0.05, 0.06, 0.03(2) | 6 |
| | | 2.0 | 6 | 2 | 0.03, 0.06, 0.07, 0.05 | 6 |
| | | 3.0 | 6 | 2 | 0.11(2), 0.10, 0.08 | 6 |
| | SC | 0.9 | 6 | 2 | 0.01 | 56 |
| UK, 1990 | SC | 1.0 | 6 | 20 | 0.01 (4) | 56 |
| | | 1.5 | 6 | 20 | <0.01 (4) | 56 |
| | | 2.0 | 6 | 20 | <0.01 (3), 0.02 | 56 |
| | | 3.0 | 6 | 20 | <0.01 (2), 0.03 (2) | 56 |
| UK, 1990 | SC | 1.0 | 6 | 27 | <0.01 (7), 0.02, 0.03 0.05 | 56 |
| | | 1.5 | 6 | 27 | <0.01, 0.01 (3), | 56 |
| | | 2.0 | 6 | 27 | 0.02(5), 0.03(2), 0.04, | 56 |
| | | | | | 0.03(2) | 56 |
| | | | | | 0.07, 0.06, 0.02, 0.03, | 56 |

| Location/ year | Form. | Application | | PHI, days | Residue (mg/kg) | Ref |
|-----------------------|-------|-------------|-----|--------------|---|-----|
| | | kg ai/ha | no. | | | |
| | | 3.0 | 6 | 27 | 0.04, 0.12 | 56 |
| | SC | 0.9 | 6 | 27 | 0.02(3) | 56 |
| UK, 1991 | SC | 1.0 | 5 | 14 | <0.01 (2), 0.01 (3), 0.02(2), 0.03 | 57 |
| | | 2.0 | 5 | 14 | < <u>0.01</u> , <u>0.01</u> , <u>0.02</u> (4), <u>0.03</u> (2) | 57 |
| | DG | 1.0 | 5 | 14 | <0.01 (2), 0.01 (4) 0.02, 0.05 | 57 |
| | | 2.0 | 5 | 14 | <u>0.01</u> (3), <u>0.02</u> , <u>0.03</u> (4) | 57 |
| UK, 1991 | SC | 1.0 | 5 | 14 | <0.01, 0.01 | 57 |
| | | 2.0 | 5 | 14 | <u>0.06</u> , <u>0.02</u> | 57 |
| UK, 1991 | DG | 1.0 | 5 | 14 | 0.02, 0.01 | 57 |
| | | 2.0 | 5 | 14 | <u>0.05</u> , <u>0.04</u> | 57 |
| UK, 1991 | SC | 1.0 | 5 | 14 | 0.02, 0.05 | 57 |
| | | 2.0 | 5 | 14 | <u>0.05</u> | 57 |
| | DG | 1.0 | 5 | 14 | 0.02 (2) | 57 |
| | | 2.0 | 5 | 14 | <u>0.10</u> , <u>0.06</u> | 57 |
| USA, California, 1985 | SC | 1.75 | 12 | 7 | <u>0.04</u> | 46 |
| USA, Texas, 1985 | SC | 1.75 | 7 | 7 | <u>0.06</u> | 46 |
| | | | 12 | 7 | <u>0.12</u> | 46 |
| USA, Michigan, 1984 | SC | 1.15 + 1.75 | 3+6 | 12 | <0.01 | 47 |
| USA, New York 1986 | SC | 1.75 | 12 | 7 | <u>0.02</u> | 47 |

Results underlined once reflect Danish GAP.

Results underlined twice reflect US GAP.

Cabbages (Table 8). The USA, Australia, Canada and a number of European countries have reported GAP for chlorothalonil on cabbage, with maximum application rates of 1.25-2.45 kg ai/ha and minimum PHIs of 0-10 days.

Residues in crops treated at 1.3 kg ai/ha and harvested 7 days after the last treatment (within US GAP) at two sites in the USA contained residues at <0.01 or <0.03 mg/kg.

Results from trials at 3 UK sites where treatment regimes were within UK and Irish GAP (1.5 kg ai/ha, 7-day PHI) were

0.04-0.7 mg/kg.

Table 8. Residues of chlorothalonil in cabbages from supervised trials carried out in the UK and the USA.

| Location/ year | Form. | Application | | PHI, days | Residue (mg/kg) | Ref. |
|-----------------------|-------|-------------|-----|--------------|--|------|
| | | kg ai/ha | No. | | | |
| UK 1990 | SC | 1.5 | 2 | 39 | 0.09,0.10, 0.14,0.15, 0.16 ,0.21, 0.27,0.41,0.46, 0.53 | 62 |
| | | 3.0 | 3 | 39 | 0.91,1.37,1.47, 1.46 | 62 |
| UK, 1991 | SC | 1.5 | 2 | 7 | <u>0.18,0.17</u> | 63 |
| | DG | 1.5 | 2 | 7 | <u>0.07,0.04</u> | 63 |
| UK, 1991 | DG | 1.5 | 2 | 8 | <u>0.16,0.13</u> | 63 |
| | SC | 1.5 | 2 | 8 | <u>0.28,0.61</u> | 63 |
| UK, 1991 | SC | 1.5 | 2 | 8 | <u>0.16,0.19,</u> <u>0.20(2), 0.22,0.24,</u> <u>0.28,0.53</u> | 63 |
| UK, 1991 | | 3.0 | 2 | 8 | 0.14,0.17,0.25, 0.33, 0.49,0.50, 0.69, 0.74 | 63 |
| | DG | 1.5 | 2 | 8 | <u>0.29,0.30,0.35,</u> <u>0.38,0.42,0.55,</u> <u>0.64,0.70</u> | 63 |
| | | 3.0 | 2 | 8 | 0.24(2), 0.26, 0.28,0.34,0.60, 0.64,0.81 | 63 |
| USA, N York, 1986 | SC | 1.3 | 11 | 0 | 5.0 | 59 |
| USA, Georgia, 1984 | SC | 1.3 | 9 | 7 | <0.03 | 60 |
| USA, N York, 1985 | SC | 1.3 | 8 | 0 | 6.4 | 60 |
| | | | 11 | 0 | 5.9 | 60 |
| USA, Florida, 1986 | SC | 1.3 | 11 | 1 | 0.23 | 61 |
| | SC | 1.3 | 11 | 7 | <0.01 | 61 |
| | | | 1 | 1 | 0.03 | 61 |
| | | | 7 | 7 | <0.01 | 61 |

Results underlined once reflect US GAP.
Results underlined twice reflect UK GAP.

Broccoli (Table 9). GAP for applications to broccoli has been reported for Australia, Canada, the UK and the USA. Maximum application rates are 1.0-2.5 kg ai/ha and minimum harvest intervals 3 or 7 days.

In two trials carried out in the USA the treatment regimes were within US and Canadian GAP. Residues in these trials were 2.2 and 2.6 mg/kg.

Table 9. Residues of chlorothalonil in broccoli from supervised trials carried out in the USA using SC formulations.

| Location/ year | Form. | Application | PHI, days | Residue (mg/kg) | Ref. |
|-------------------|-------|-------------|-----------|--------------------|------|
|-------------------|-------|-------------|-----------|--------------------|------|

chlorothalonil

| | kg ai/ha | No. | | | |
|------------------|----------|-----|---|------------|----|
| California, 1986 | 1.6 | 9 | 0 | 1.7 | 64 |
| New York, 1985 | 1.3 | 6 | 0 | 5.8 | 65 |
| Michigan, 1985 | 1.3 | 4 | 6 | <u>2.2</u> | 66 |
| New York, 1986 | 1.3 | 8 | 0 | 11 | 66 |
| New York, 1987 | 1.3 | 8 | 7 | <u>2.6</u> | 67 |

Underlined results reflect GAP in the USA and Canada

Brussels sprouts (Table 10). Products containing chlorothalonil are registered for use on Brussels sprouts in Australia, Canada, the USA and several European countries. Maximum applications rates are 1-2.5 kg ai/ha and minimum pre-harvest intervals are 3, 7 or 14 days.

A residue level of 2.3 mg/kg was reported from an Australian trial where the treatment regime was within Australian GAP (2.5 kg ai/ha, 3-day PHI). Two results (<0.01 and 4.3 mg/kg) were available from US trials reflecting US and Canadian GAP (1.7 and 1.25-2.15 kg ai/ha respectively, 7-day PHI). Residues were 0.09-0.92 mg/kg in six trials carried out in The Netherlands and the UK using treatment regimes within UK and Irish GAP (1.5 kg ai/ha, 7-day PHI).

Table 10. Residues of chlorothalonil in Brussels sprouts from supervised trials carried out in Australia, The Netherlands, the UK and the USA. All products used were SCs.

| Location/ year | Application | | PHI, days | Residue (mg/kg) | Ref. |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----|--------------|----------------------------|------|
| | kg ai/ha | No. | | | |
| S.Australia 1977 | 1.3 | 5 | 0 | 1.3 | 70 |
| | | | 1 | 1.0 | 70 |
| | | | 6 | <u>2.2</u> | 70 |
| | 2.5 | 5 | 0 | 2.6 | 70 |
| | | | 1 | 2.3 | 70 |
| | | | 6 | 2.3* | 70 |
| Netherlands 1989 | 1.5 | 7 | 7 | <u>0.16,0.09,0.13,0.57</u> | 71 |
| | | | 14 | 0.49,0.31,0.45,0.66 | 71 |
| | | | 21 | 0.23,0.17,.0.14,0.29 | 71 |
| Netherlands 1989 | 1.5 | 6 | 7 | <u>0.35,0.28,0.32,0.32</u> | 72 |
| | | | 14 | 0.48,0.33,0.36,0.35 | 72 |
| | | | 21 | 0.20,0.19,0.13,0.18 | 72 |
| Netherlands 1989 | 1.5 | 6 | 7 | <u>0.14,0.13,0.09,0.36</u> | 73 |
| | | | 14 | 0.06,0.08,0.06,0.21 | 73 |
| | | | 21 | 0.05,0.04,0.15,0.19 | 73 |
| UK, 1989 | 1.5 | 2 | 13 | 0.14,0.12 | 74 |
| UK, 1989 | 1.5 | 2 | 12 | 0.09,0.12 | 75 |
| UK, 1989 | 1.5 | 2 | 12 | 0.09,0.05 | 76 |
| UK, 1990 | 1.5 | 2 | 0 | 0.19.,0.29,0.18 | 77 |
| | | | | 0.28,0.19,0.19 | 77 |
| | | | 3 | 0.14,0.14,0.21 | 77 |
| | | | 5 | 0.35,0.37,0.18 | 77 |
| | | | 7 | <u>0.19,0.33,0.28</u> | 77 |
| | | | | <u>0.26,0.19,0.23</u> | 77 |
| | | | 12 | 0.23,0.24,0.17 | |
| | | | 13 | 0.28,0.21,0.27 | |
| | 0.15(2),0.17,0.12, | | | | |
| | 0.11,0.14 | | | | |
| | 0.24,(2),0.09 | | | | |
| | 0.15,0.18(3) | | | | |

| Location/ year | Application | | PHI, days | Residue (mg/kg) | Ref. |
|---------------------|-------------|-----|--------------|----------------------------|------|
| | kg ai/ha | No. | | | |
| | | | | 0.16(2),0.12, 0.20,0.08 | |
| | 3.0 | 2 | 13 | 0.15,0.22,0.36,0.61 | 77 |
| UK,1990 | 1.5 | 2 | 7 | <u>0.45,0.53,0.92,0.31</u> | 77 |
| | 3.0 | 2 | 7 | <u>1.27,0.68,1.18,1.24</u> | 77 |
| UK, 1990 | 1.5 | 2 | 7 | <u>0.15,0.13,0.47,0.29</u> | 77 |
| | 3.0 | 2 | 7 | <u>0.23,0.76,0.49,0.33</u> | 77 |
| USA, N York 1986 | 1.3 | 13 | 0 | 4.4 | 68 |
| | | | 7 | <u>4.3</u> | 68 |
| USA, N York 1987 | 1.3 | 9 | 7 | <u><0.01</u> | 69 |

Results underlined once reflect US GAP.
Results underlined twice reflect UK and Irish GAP.
The asterisked result reflects Australian GAP.

Cauliflower (Table 11). Cauliflower crops may be treated with chlorothalonil in Australia, Canada, the USA and a number of European countries. Maximum treatment rates range from 1.0 to 2.45 kg ai/ha and minimum pre-harvest intervals are 0, 3 or 7 days.

Supervised trials have been carried out in the USA and the UK. The US GAP of 1.3 kg ai/ha and a 7-day PHI was used in two US trials. Residue levels in these trials were 0.12 and 0.04 mg/kg. In UK trials, samples harvested 7 days after treatment at 1.3-1.5 kg ai/ha (within GAP in the UK and Ireland) contained residues in the range 0.04-0.47 mg/kg.

Table 11. Residues of chlorothalonil in cauliflower from supervised trials in the UK and the USA.

| Location/ year | Form. | Application | | PHI, days | Residue (mg/kg) | Ref. |
|-------------------|----------|-------------|-----|--------------|---|------|
| | | kg ai/ha | No. | | | |
| UK, 1990 | SC | 1.5 | 2 | 32 | <0.01(3), 0.01(4), 0.02(5) <0.01(2), 0.01(2) | 80 |
| | | 3.0 | 2 | 32 | | 80 |
| UK,1991 | SC DG | 1.5 | 2 | 6 | <u>0.28(2)</u> <u>0.45,0.42</u> | 81 |
| | | 1.5 | 2 | 6 | | 81 |
| UK, 1991 | SC DG | 1.5 | 2 | 6 | <u>0.19,0.25</u> <u>0.32,0.47</u> | 81 |
| | | 1.5 | 2 | 6 | | 81 |
| UK,1991 | SC DG | 1.5 | 2 | 42 | 0.01,0.02(3) 0.02(4) | 81 |
| | | 3.0 | 2 | 42 | | 81 |
| UK,1991 | SC DG | 1.5 | 2 | 42 | 0.01(3),0.02 0.01(2),0.02(2) 0.01(5),0.02(3) 0.01(2),0.02(2),0.03(4) | 81 |
| | | 3.0 | 2 | 42 | | 81 |
| | | 1.5 | 2 | 42 | | 81 |
| | | 3.0 | 2 | 42 | | 81 |
| USA, Oregon 1985 | SC | 1.3* | 8 | 7 | <u>0.12</u> | 78 |
| USA, Oregon 1985 | SC | 1.3 | 8 | 7 | <u>0.04</u> | 78 |
| USA, N York 1985 | SC | 1.3 | 8 | 0 | 0.41* | 78 |
| USA, Florida 1985 | SC | 1.3 | 9 | 0 | 1.8 | 78 |
| USA, N York 1985 | SC | 1.3 | 6 | 0 | 4.5 | 79 |

* high apparent residues in controls suggests mislabelling.
Results underlined once reflect GAP in the USA.

chlorothalonil

Results underlined twice reflect GAP in the UK and Ireland.

Melons (Table 12). Products containing chlorothalonil are registered for use on melons in Australia, Canada, the USA and several European countries. Registered maximum treatment rates are 1.0-2.5 kg ai/ha and minimum pre-harvest intervals are 0-15 days.

Supervised trials have been carried out in the USA, France and Italy. A number of results were available for samples which had been treated at around 2.5 kg ai/ha and harvested on the day of treatment (this is US GAP); residues ranged from 0.18 to 1.45 mg/kg. Residues were <0.01-0.03 mg/kg in samples from French trials which had been treated in accordance with French GAP.

Table 12. Residues of chlorothalonil in melons from supervised trials in France, Italy and the USA.

| Location/ year | Form. | Application | | PHI, days | Residue (mg/kg) | Ref |
|---------------------|-------|-------------|--------|--------------|---|----------|
| | | kg ai/ha | No. | | | |
| France, 1982 | SC | 1.1 | 4 | 6 | <u><0.01</u> | 83 |
| | WP | 1.5 | 4 | 6 | <u>0.01</u> | 84 |
| France, 1982 | SC | 1.5 | 4 | 6 | <u><0.01</u> | 85 |
| France, 1989 | SC | 1.5 | 4 | 3 | 0.02 | 86 |
| | | | | 7 | <u>0.03</u> | 86 |
| Italy, 1990 | SC | 1.5 3.0 | 3 3 | 14 14 | 0.10 0.56 | 87 87 |
| USA, Texas, 1980 | SC | 2.5 | 5 | 0 | <u>0.22,0.26,0.21</u> <u>1.45,0.80(2)</u> | 82 |
| | WP | 2.6 | 5 | 0 | <u>0.30,0.24,0.18,</u> <u>0.58,0.36,0.84</u> | 82 |

(Notes on next page)

Results underlined once reflect US GAP
Results underlined twice reflect French GAP.

Cucumbers (Table 13). GAP for cucumbers has been reported for Australia, Canada, the USA and several European countries. Maximum treatment rates are 1.0-2.5 kg ai/ha (or 0.08-0.19 kg ai/hl) and minimum PHIs are from 0 to 28 days.

Data were available from supervised trials carried out in the USA, France, Italy and Spain. None of these data are from crops grown under protection. Samples treated at 2.5 kg ai/ha and harvested on the day of treatment (this is US GAP) had residue levels in the range 0.43-4.3 mg/kg.

Table 13. Residues of chlorothalonil in cucumbers from supervised trials in France, Italy, Spain and the USA. All trials appear to have been carried out in the field (i.e. without protection).

| Location/ year | Form. | Application | | | PHI, days | Residue (mg/kg) | Ref. |
|-------------------|-------|-------------|-------------|-----|--------------|--------------------|------|
| | | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | No. | | | |
| France, 1989 | SC | 1.5 | - | 7 | 3 7 | 0.05 0.05 | 92 |
| France, 1989 | SC | - | 0.15 | 1 | 0 3 | 0.17 0.14 | 93 |

chlorothalonil

| Location/ year | Form. | Application | | | PHI, days | Residue (mg/kg) | Ref. |
|----------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| | | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | No. | | | |
| | | | | | 5 7 14 21 | 0.09 0.08 0.10 <0.01 | |
| France, 1989 | SC | - | 0.15 | 1 | 0 3 5 7 14 21 | 0.19 0.21 0.33 0.11 0.07 0.01 | 94 |
| France, 1989 | SC | - | 0.15 | 1 | 0 3 5 7 14 21 | 0.07 0.12 0.28 0.11 0.03 <0.01 | 95 |
| France, 1989 | SC | 2.4 | - | 1 | 0 1 5 10 | 1.2 1.0 0.26 0.15 | 96 |
| | | 4.8 | - | 1 | 0 1 5 10 | 1.5 1.7 0.61 0.42 | 96 |
| Italy, 1990 | SC DG | 0.75 0.66 | - - | 2 | 15 15 | 0.02(2) 0.01 | 97 97 |
| Italy, 1990 | SC DG | 1.5 1.3 | - - | 2 2 | 15 15 | 0.01,0.02 0.01 | 98 98 |
| Italy, 1991 | SC | 1.5 | - | 3 | 0 14 | 0.41,0.20,0.34 <0.01(2),0.01 | 99 |
| Italy, 1991 | SC | 1.5 | - | 3 | 0 14 | 1.26,1.42,0.87 <0.01,0.01,0.02 | 100 |
| Italy, 1991 | DG | 1.5 | - | 3 | 0 14 | 0.23,0.83,0.46 0.02,0.01,<0.01 | 101 |
| Italy, 1991 | DG | 1.5 | - | 3 | 0 14 | 1.1(2),1.7 0.01,0.02(2) | 102 |
| Italy,1991 | DG | 1.5 | - | 3 | 0 14 | 0.10,0.35,0.41 <0.01(2),0.03 | 103 |
| Italy,1991 | DG | 1.5 | - | 3 | 0 14 | 0.70,1.1,1.6 0.02(2),0.05 | 104 |
| Italy,1991 | SC | 1.5 | - | 3 | 0 14 | 0.31,0.36,0.40 0.01(3) | 105 |
| Italy,1991 | SC | 1.0 | - | 3 | 0 14 | 0.23,0.57,0.74 <0.01(3) | 106 |
| Spain, 1990 | SC WP DG | 1.25 1.5 1.5 1.6 | - - - - | 3 3 3 3 | 7 7 7 7 | 0.21 0.17 0.22 0.05 | 107 107 107 105 |
| USA, Michigan, 1979 | SC | 1.3 | - | 6 | 0 7 | 0.07,0.06,0.06 0.06,0.04,0.06 | 88 |
| USA, Texas, 1986 | SC SC | 2.5 2.5 2.5 +6.8 | - - - - | 9 9 8 1 | 0 0 0 0 | <u>4.3</u> <u>2.6</u> <u>2.9</u> | 89 89 89 |
| USA, S Carolida 1986 | SC SC | 2.5 2.5 2.5 +6.9 | - - - - | 9 9 8 1 | 0 0 8 5 | 2.8 <u>1.4</u> 0.97 | 89 89 89 |
| USA, Florida, 1986 | SC SC | 2.5 2.5 2.5 +6.8 | - - - - | 9 9 8 1 | 0 0 8 0 | <u>2.3</u> <u>0.43</u> 4.0 | 90 90 90 |
| USA, California 1986 | SC | 2.5 | - | 8 | 0 | <u>1.7</u> | 91 |

Underlined results reflect US GAP.

Summer and winter squash, Sweet corn (Table 14). Products containing chlorothalonil are registered for use on summer and winter squash crops in Canada, the USA, Greece and Italy. Maximum application rates range from 1.1 to 2.5 kg ai/ha and minimum pre-harvest intervals from 0 to 10 days.

Supervised trials have been carried out in the USA. Crops harvested on the day of the last of ten treatments at 2.5 kg ai/ha (US GAP) contained residues in the range 0.59-3.6 mg/kg.

Chlorothalonil residues were not found (<0.01 mg/kg) in sweet corn when chlorothalonil was used according to GAP in supervised trials carried out at one site in the USA.

Table 14. Residues of chlorothalonil in summer squash, winter squash and sweet corn from supervised trials in the USA using SC formulations. All trials accord with US GAP.

| Crop | Location/ year | Application | | PHI, days | Residue (mg/kg) | Ref. |
|------------------|-------------------|-------------|-----|--------------|--------------------|------|
| | | kg ai/ha | No. | | | |
| Summer squash | Florida, 1985 | 2.5 | 10 | 0 | 0.97 | 108 |
| | California, 1985 | 2.5 | 10 | 0 | 1.8,3.6 | 108 |
| Winter squash | California, 1985 | 2.5 | 10 | 0 | 2.6 | 109 |
| | Florida, 1985 | 2.5 | 10 | 0 | 0.59 | 109 |
| | Texas, 1985 | 2.5 | 10 | 0 | 1.3 | 109 |
| Sweet corn | Illinois, 1985 | 1.6 | 8 | 14 | <0.01(2) | 110 |

Tomatoes (Table 15). Products containing chlorothalonil are approved for use on tomatoes in Australia, Canada, the USA and several European countries. Maximum treatment rates range from 1.1-2.5 kg ai/ha and minimum pre-harvest intervals from 0 to 15 days.

Supervised trials have been carried out in the USA, Italy and Spain. When crops were treated in accordance with the maximum GAP, 2.5 kg ai/ha, and sampled on the day of treatment, residues were in the range 0.15-4.6 mg/kg.

Table 15. Residues of chlorothalonil in tomatoes from supervised trials in

a) the USA and b) Italy and Spain.

a) Trials carried out in the USA.

| Location/ year | Application | | | PHI, days | Residue (mg/kg) | Ref |
|-------------------|-------------|-------------|-----|--------------|--------------------|-----|
| | Form. | kg ai/ha | No. | | | |
| N.Carolina, 1984 | SC | 1.5 | 16 | 1 | 0.12 | 111 |
| Florida, 1984 | SC | 2.4 | 11 | 0 | 0.15 | 111 |
| Florida, 1984 | SC | 2.4 | 16 | 0 | 0.18 | 111 |

| Location/ year | Application | | | PHI, days | Residue (mg/kg) | Ref |
|-------------------|-------------|-------------|--------|--------------|------------------------|------------|
| | Form. | kg ai/ha | No. | | | |
| Florida, 1984 | SC | 2.4 | 11 | 0 | 0.49 | 111 |
| Florida, 1984 | SC | 2.4 | 12 | 1 | 0.84 | 111 |
| California, 1984 | WP | 1.6 | 8 | 0 | 2.4 | 112 |
| Virginia, 1984 | WP | 1.6 | 6 | 5 | 0.22,0.17,0.08 | 112 |
| Ohio, 1984 | WP | 1.6 | 5 | 5 | 0.64,0.23,0.36 | 112 |
| California, 1984 | WP | 1.6 | 3 6 | 12 12 | 0.07,0.06 0.08,0.11 | 112 112 |
| California, 1984 | DG | 1.8 | 6 | 1 | 0.36,0.97,1.1, 1.3 | 112 |
| California, 1984 | DG | 1.8 | 7 | 1 | 0.37,0.41,1.5, 2.5 | 112 |
| Ohio, 1985 | DG | 1.8 | 7 | 1 | 0.18,0.44,0.70 | 112 |
| California, 1984 | DG | 1.8 | 5 | 1 | 0.37,0.72,1.6, 1.7 | 112 |
| Florida, 1985 | SC | 2.5 | 9 | - | 1.4,2.7,2.8,4.6 | 113 |

b) Decline trials carried out in Europe

| Location | Form. | Application | | | Residues (mg/kg) after PHI (days) | | | | | | | | Ref |
|----------------|-------|-------------|--------------|--------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|-----|-----|
| | | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | No. | 0 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 14 | 21 | 28 | | |
| Italy, 1989 | SC | - | 0.26 0.52 | 1 1 | 0.38 1.0 | 0.47 1.1 | 1.2 3.3 | 1.0 1.8 | 0.61 1.6 | 0.40 0.89 | 0.13 0.63 | 114 | |
| Italy, 1989 | SC | - | 0.26 0.52 | 1 1 | 0.61 0.88 | 0.73 2.2 | 1.1 1.9 | 0.61 1.9 | 0.50 1.8 | 0.51 1.4 | 0.19 0.43 | 115 | |
| Italy, 1989 | DG | - | 0.26 0.52 | 1 1 | 1.1 1.2 | 1.5 3.5 | 0.88 1.6 | 0.68 1.1 | 0.53 1.0 | 0.33 0.80 | 0.26 0.24 | 116 | |
| Italy, 1989 | SC | - | 0.13 | 3 | 1.2 0.13 ¹ | 0.89 0.19 ¹ | 0.64 0.22 ¹ | 0.54 0.13 ¹ | 0.29 0.15 ¹ | 0.24 0.08 ¹ | | 117 | |
| Location | Form. | Application | | | Residues (mg/kg) after PHI (days) | | | | | | | | |
| | | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | No. | 0 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 14 | | | |
| Italy, 1989 | SC | - | 0.13 | 3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.89 | 0.72 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | 118 | |
| Italy, 1989 | DG | - | 0.13 | 3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.87 | 0.36 | 0.25 | 0.27 | | 119 | |
| Italy, 1990 | SC | 1.5 | 0.15 | 3 | 0.32 | 0.27 | | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.15 | | 120 | |
| Italy, 1990 | SC | 1.5 | 0.15 | 3 | 0.55 | 0.33 | | 0.24 | 0.21 | 0.17 | | 121 | |
| Italy, 1990 | SC | 3.0 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.98 | 0.42 | | 0.35 | 0.23 | 0.29 | | 122 | |
| Italy, 1990 | SC | 1.5 | 0.15 | 3 | 0.25 | 0.35 | | 0.29 | 0.28 | 0.26 | | 123 | |
| Italy, 1990 | SC | 3.0 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.61 | 0.94 | | 0.40 | 0.56 | 0.33 | | 124 | |
| Italy, 1990 | DG | 1.5 | 0.15 | 3 | 0.13 | 0.27 | | 0.28 | 0.20 | 0.11 | | 125 | |
| Italy, 1990 | DG | 3.0 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.66 | 0.86 | | 0.75 | 0.47 | 0.23 | | 126 | |
| Italy, 1990 | SC | 1.1 | 0.11 | 3 | 0.18 | 0.21 | | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.08 | | 127 | |
| Italy, 1990 | SC | 1.1 | 0.11 | 3 | 0.09 | 0.04 | | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.07 | | 128 | |
| Italy, 1990 | SC | 3.0 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.32 | 0.15 | | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.16 | | 129 | |
| Italy, 1990 | SC | 1.5 | 0.15 | 3 | 0.22 | 0.07 | | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.03 | | 130 | |
| Italy, 1990 | SC | 3.0 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.24 | 0.21 | | 0.20 | 0.28 | 0.17 | | 131 | |
| Italy, 1990 | DG | 1.5 | 0.15 | 3 | 0.21 | 0.12 | | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.16 | | 132 | |
| Italy, 1990 | DG | 3.0 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.49 | 0.40 | | 0.31 | 0.19 | 0.30 | | 133 | |
| Italy, 1990 | SC | 0.64-0.78 | - | 5 | | | | | | 0.34 ² | | 134 | |

chlorothalonil

| Location | Form. | Application | | | Residues (mg/kg) after PHI (days) | | | | | | | Ref |
|-------------|-------|-------------|----------|-----|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---|---------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|----|-----|
| | | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | No. | 0 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 14 | 21 | 28 | |
| | | 1.4-1.7 | - | 5 | | | | | | 0.62 ² | | 134 |
| Italy, 1990 | SC | 0.6-0.75 | - | 5 | | | | | | 0.13 ² | | 135 |
| | | 1.1-1.6 | - | 5 | | | | | | 0.32 ² | | 135 |
| Italy, 1990 | SC | 1.5 | - | 3 | 0.08 0.12 0.23 0.25 | | | | | 0.09 0.15 0.08 0.11 | | 136 |
| | | | | | Residues (mg/kg) after PHI, days | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 0 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 14 | | | |
| Italy, 1991 | DG | 1.5 | - | 3 | 0.15 0.24 0.30 0.37 | | | | 0.10 0.13(2) 0.14 | | | 137 |
| Italy, 1991 | DG | 1.5 | - | 3 | 0.16 0.24 0.28 0.29 | | | | 0.06 0.09(2) 0.10 | | | 138 |
| Spain, 1990 | WP | 3.4 | - | 4 | 1.3 | 1.0 | | 0.71 | 0.49 | | | 139 |
| Spain, 1990 | SC | 1.7 | - | 4 | 0.33 ² | 0.32 ^{2,3} | | 0.38 ² | | | | 140 |
| Spain, 1990 | SC | 1.7 | - | 4 | 0.38 ² | 0.73 ^{2,3} | | 0.58 ² | | | | 141 |
| Spain, 1990 | SC | 1.8 | - | 3 | 1.02 ² | 0.69 ^{2,3} | | 0.46 ^{2,3} | 0.20 ^{2,4} | | | 142 |
| Spain, 1990 | SC | 3.5 | - | 3 | 1.02 | 1.2 | | 0.71 | 0.33 ² | | | |

¹ After washing
³ 4-day PHI

² Greenhouse experiment
⁴ 11-day-PHI

Underlined results reflect US GAP

Beans (Table 16). GAP for beans has been reported for Australia, the USA and several European countries. Maximum treatment rates are 1.5-2.5 kg ai/ha and minimum PHIs are 7-15 days.

In four supervised trials carried out on common beans in the USA and reflecting GAP at 2.5 kg ai/ha and a 7-day PHI residues levels were 0.4-3.1 mg/kg.

Table 16. Residues of chlorothalonil in beans from supervised trials in France, Italy, the UK and the USA.

a) Common beans

| Location, year | Form. | Application | | PHI, days | Residue (mg/kg) | Ref. |
|----------------|-------|-------------|-----|-----------|-----------------|------|
| | | kg ai/ha | No. | | | |
| France, 1988 | SC | 0.75 | 2 | 12 | <0.01 | 146 |
| | | 0.75 | 2 | 13 | 0.02 | 146 |
| France, 1988 | SC | 0.75 | 2 | 21 | 0.01 | 147 |
| Italy, 1989 | SC | 1.5 | 2 | 0 | 1.81 | 148 |
| | | | | 3 | 0.50 | |
| | | | | 5 | 0.39 | |
| | | | | 7 | 0.42 | |
| | | | | 10 | 0.22 | |
| | | | | 14 | 0.03 | |
| Italy, 1989 | SC | 1.5 | 2 | 0 | 1.71 | 149 |
| | | | | 3 | 0.53 | |
| | | | | 5 | 0.25 | |
| | | | | 7 | 0.22 | |
| | | | | 10 | 0.06 | |
| | | | | 14 | 0.04 | |

chlorothalonil

| Location, year | Form. | Application | | PHI, days | Residue (mg/kg) | Ref. |
|----------------------|-------|-------------|-----|--------------|--|------|
| | | kg ai/ha | No. | | | |
| Italy, 1989 | DG | 1.5 | 2 | 0 | 1.3 | 150 |
| | | | | 3 | 0.50 | |
| | | | | 5 | 0.38 | |
| | | | | 7 | 0.35 | |
| | | | | 10 | 0.15 | |
| 14 | 0.04 | | | | | |
| Italy, 1990 | SC | 1.5 | 1 | 16 | 0.02(2) | 151 |
| | DG | 1.5 | 1 | 16 | 0.04 | |
| Italy, 1990 | SC | 3.0 | 1 | 16 | 0.28,0.02 | 152 |
| | DG | 3.0 | 1 | 16 | 0.13 | |
| UK, 1985 | SC | 0.9 | 2 | 76 | <0.01* | 153 |
| UK, 1986 | SC | 1.0 | 2 | 51 | <0.01*(4) | 154 |
| UK, 1986 | SC | 1.0 | 2 | 71 | <0.01*(2) | 155 |
| UK, 1988 | SC | 1.5 | 1 | 19 | 0.78, 0.39 | 156 |
| | | 3.0 | 1 | 19 | 1.5,0.60 | |
| UK,1988 | SC | 0.75 | 1 | 19 | 0.29 | 157 |
| | SC | 1.0 | 1 | 19 | 0.45 | |
| UK, 1988 | SC | 1.5 | 1 | 7 | 0.37 | 158 |
| | | | | 10 | 0.25 | |
| | | | | 14 | 0.12 | |
| | | | | 7 | 1.2 | |
| | | | | 10 | 0.50 | |
| 14 | 0.38 | | | | | |
| UK, 1990 | SC | 1.5 | 2 | 84 | <0.01*(3) | 159 |
| | | 3.0 | 2 | 84 | <0.01*(3) | |
| UK, 1991 | SC | 1.5 | 2 | 62 | <0.01*(3) | 159 |
| | | 3.0 | 2 | 62 | 0.14*, 0.09*,0.02* | |
| UK, 1991 | SC | 1.5 | 2 | 49 | 0.02*(2), <0.01* | 160 |
| | | 3.0 | 2 | 49 | 0.02*(2), 0.03* | |
| | DG | 1.5 | 2 | 49 | 0.02*,0.07* | 160 |
| | | 3.0 | 2 | 49 | 0.04*, <u>0.02*</u> | |
| UK, 1991 | SC | 1.5 | 2 | 60 | <0.01* ,0.01*(3),0.02*(4) | 160 |
| | | 3.0 | 2 | 60 | 0.02*(3),0.03*(2),0.08* 0.04*,0.05*,<0.01*,0.01*(3) | |
| | DG | 1.5 | 2 | 60 | <0.01*(4),0.01*(3),0.03* | 160 |
| | | 3.0 | 2 | 60 | 0.02*(4),0.03*(4) | |
| UK, 1991 | SC | 1.5 | 2 | 57 | <0.01*(3) | 160 |
| | | 3.0 | 2 | 57 | <0.01*(3) | |
| | DG | 1.5 | 2 | 57 | <0.01*(2) | 160 |
| | | 3.0 | 2 | 57 | <0.01*(2) | |
| USA, Florida, 1986 | SC | 2.5 | 3 | 7 | <u>0.40</u> | 144 |
| USA, Oregon, 1986 | SC | 2.4 | 3 | 7 | <u>3.0</u> | 144 |
| USA, N York, 1986 | SC | 2.5 | 3 | 7 | <u>3.1</u> | 145 |
| USA, Wisconsin, 1986 | SC | 2.5 | 4 | 7 | <u>0.78</u> | 145 |

b) Broad beans

| Location/ year | Form. | Application | | PHI, days | Residue (mg/kg) | Ref. |
|-------------------|-------|-------------|-----|--------------|--|------|
| | | kg ai/ha | No. | | | |
| UK, 1991 | SC | 1.5 | 2 | 10 | 0.98,1.0,0.77,1.4,0.86, 0.96,0.32,0.58,0.61 | 159 |
| | | 3.0 | 2 | 10 | 1.7,4.3,1.4 | 159 |

Underlined results reflect US GAP.

Carrots (Table 17). Products containing chlorothalonil are registered for use on carrots in Australia, Canada, Spain and the USA at treatment rates up to 2 kg ai/ha and with minimum pre-harvest intervals of 0 to 21 days.

Residues were in the range 0.02-0.96 mg/kg in trials in

which crops were treated in accordance with the US GAP of 1.7 kg ai/ha and a 0-day PHI.

Table 17. Residues of chlorothalonil in carrots from supervised trials in Australia and the USA according to US GAP.

| Location/ year | Form. | Application | | PHI, days | Residue (mg/kg) | Ref. |
|-----------------------|-------|-------------|-----|-----------|--------------------|------|
| | | kg ai/ha | No. | | | |
| S Australia, 1976 | WP | 1.3 | 15 | 0 | 2.0 | 165 |
| | | | | 6 | 2.6 | 165 |
| | | 2.6 | 15 | 0 | 1.7 | 165 |
| | | | | 6 | 3.6 | 165 |
| USA, Texas, 1979 | SC | 1.6 | 6 | 0 | 0.20,0.26,0.19 | 161 |
| | WP | 1.6 | 6 | 0 | 0.34,0.33,0.50 | 161 |
| USA, California, 1979 | SC | 1.6 | 5 | 0 | 0.30,0.10,0.07 | 161 |
| | WP | 1.6 | 5 | 0 | 0.46,0.64,0.96 | 161 |
| USA, California, 1979 | SC | 1.6 | 5 | 0 | 0.88,0.82(2) | 162 |
| USA, Texas, 1979 | WP | 1.6 | 6 | 0 | 0.21,0.19,0.15 | 162 |
| | SC | 1.6 | 6 | 0 | 0.12,0.06,0.09 | 162 |
| USA, California, 1986 | SC | 1.6 | 10 | 0 | 0.09 | 163 |
| USA, Texas, 1986 | SC | 1.6* | 10 | 0 | 0.08* | 164 |
| | | 1.6 | | | 0.10 | |
| USA, Washington, 1986 | SC | 1.6 | 10 | 0 | 0.02 | 164 |
| USA, Wisconsin, 1986 | SC | 1.6 | 8 | 0 | 0.03 | 164 |

Potatoes (Table 18). Products containing chlorothalonil are authorised for use on potatoes in Australia, Canada, the USA and several European countries. Maximum application rates are between 0.8 and 2.2 kg ai/ha and minimum pre-harvest intervals are 0 to 15 days.

Supervised trials data were available from the USA and several European countries. Results were available from a number of trials carried out in the USA where samples were taken 7 days after treatment, and application rates were around 1.3 kg ai/ha (US GAP is 1.3 kg ai/ha, PHI 7 days). Residues of chlorothalonil were not found (<0.03 mg/kg). Where treatment regimes reflected maximum UK GAP residue levels were 0.01-0.18 mg/kg.

Table 18. Residues in potatoes following treatment with chlorothalonil.

| Location/ year | Form. | Application | | PHI, days | Residue (mg/kg) | Ref |
|-------------------|-------|-------------|-----|--------------|--------------------|-----|
| | | kg ai/ha | No. | | | |
| Belgium, 1980 | SC | 1.5-2.2 | 6 | 7 | 0.01 | 170 |
| | | | | 14 | <0.01 | |
| | | | | 29 | <0.01 | |
| Belgium, 1980 | SC | 1.5-1.8 | 5 | 14 | <0.01 | 171 |
| | | | | 28 | <0.01 | |
| | | | | 41 | <0.01 | |
| Greece, 1987 | WP | 0.9 | 5 | 8 | <0.01 | 172 |
| | | | | 6 | <0.01 | |
| Italy, 1990 | SC | 1.5 | 2 | 21 | <0.01 | 173 |
| | | | | 3.0 | 2 | |

| Location/ year | Form. | Application | | PHI, days | Residue (mg/kg) | Ref |
|--|-------|-------------|-----|--------------|--|-----|
| | | kg ai/ha | No. | | | |
| Italy, 1990 | SC | 1.5 | 2 | 14 | <0.01 | 174 |
| | WP | 3.0 | 2 | 14 | <0.01 | |
| UK, 1989 | SC | 3.0 | 6 | 49 | <0.01 | 175 |
| | WP | 3.0 | 6 | 49 | <0.01 | |
| UK, 1989 | WP | 1.0-1.5 | 7 | 38 | <0.01 | 176 |
| | DG | 1.0-1.5 | 7 | 38 | <0.01 | |
| UK, 1989 | SC | 3.0 | 6 | 49 | <0.01 | 177 |
| | WP | 3.0 | 6 | 49 | <0.01 | |
| UK, 1989 | WP | 1.0-1.5 | 7 | 34 | <0.01 | 178 |
| | DG | 1.0-1.5 | 7 | 34 | <0.01 | |
| UK, 1990 | SC | 0.75 | 12 | 28 | <0.01(2) | 179 |
| | | 1.0 | 12 | 28 | <0.01(2) | |
| | | 3.0 | 12 | 28 | <0.01(2) | |
| UK, 1990 | SC | 0.75 | 13 | 17 | <0.01(2) | 179 |
| | | 1.0 | 13 | 17 | <0.01(2) | |
| | | 3.0 | 13 | 17 | <0.01(2) | |
| UK, 1990 | SC | 0.75 | 11 | 35 | <0.01(2) | 179 |
| | | 1.0 | 11 | 35 | <0.01(2) | |
| | | 3.0 | 11 | 35 | <0.01(2) | |
| UK, 1991 | SC | 1.5 | 13 | 8 | <0.01(7), 0.01, | 180 |
| | | 3.0 | 13 | 8 | 0.01(5),0.02(2),0.04 | |
| | WP | 1.5 | 13 | 8 | <0.01(3), 0.01 | 180 |
| | | 3.0 | 13 | 8 | 0.01,0.02,0.03,0.04 | |
| | DG | 1.5 | 13 | 8 | <0.01(4),0.01(3),0.02 | 180 |
| | | 3.0 | 13 | 8 | 0.01(4),0.02(4) | |
| UK, 1991 | SC | 1.5 | 11 | 20 | <0.01,0.01 | 180 |
| | WP | 1.5 | 11 | 20 | <0.01 | |
| | DG | 1.5 | 11 | 20 | <0.01,0.01 | |
| UK, 1991 | SC | 1.5 | 11 | 6 | 0.01,0.03 | 180 |
| | WP | 1.5 | 11 | 6 | 0.01 | |
| | DG | 1.5 | 11 | 6 | 0.02,0.04 | |
| USA, California, 1979 USA, Nebraska, 1979 | SC | 1.5 | 3 | 0 | <u>0.01,0.02(2),0.07</u> | 166 |
| | SC | 1.5 | 6 | 0 | <u>0.05</u> | |
| | SC | 1.5 | 6 | 0 | <u>0.04</u> | |
| USA, Florida, 1979 | SC | 1.3 | 9 | 0 | <u>0.08,0.01</u> | 167 |
| | WP | 1.3 | 9 | 0 | <u>0.06,0.09(2)</u> <u>0.12,0.18,0.06</u> | |
| USA, Florida, 1979 | SC | 1.3 | 11 | 0 | <u>0.02,0.04(3),0.06(2)</u> | 167 |
| | WP | 1.3 | 11 | 0 | <u>0.02,0.04,0.10</u> | |
| USA, Idaho, 1979 | SC | 1.3 | 2 | 0 | <u>0.1,0.0.2(2)</u> | 167 |
| USA, New York, 1980 | SC | 1.3 | 9 | 0 | <u>0.01(3)</u> | 167 |
| | WP | 1.2 | 9 | 0 | <u>0.01(2), .0.02</u> | |
| USA, Maine, 1984 | SC | 0.7-1.2 | 7 | 7 | <u><0.03</u> | 168 |
| USA, Washington, 1984 | SC | 0.7-1.2 | 11 | 7 | <u><0.03</u> | 168 |
| USA, Ohio, 1985 | SC | 0.9-1.2 | 10 | 6 | <u><0.03</u> | 168 |
| USA, Florida, 1984 | SC | 0.6-1.2 | 6 | 7 | <u><0.03</u> | 168 |
| USA, Michigan, 1984 | SC | 0.6-1.2 | 11 | 20 | <0.03 | 168 |
| USA, Idaho, 1986 | SC | 1.3 | 6 | 25 | <0.03 | 169 |
| USA, California, 1986 | SC | 0.9-1.3 | 7 | 12 | <0.03 | 169 |
| USA, Oregon, 1986 | SC | 1.3 | 11 | 7 | <u><0.03</u> | 169 |
| USA, Colorado, 1986 | SC | 0.9 | 7 | 27 | <0.03 | 169 |

Results underlined once reflect UK GAP.
Results underlined twice reflect US GAP.

Sugar beet (Table 19). GAP for sugar beet was reported for Greece. Supervised trials were carried out in 1990 in France and Italy. In four trials the treatment regime reflected the maximum GAP. Residue levels in these trials were <0.01-0.1 mg/kg in roots and 0.33-14 mg/kg in leaves.

Table 19. Residues of chlorothalonil in sugar beet from supervised trials in France and Italy. All treatments were with SC formulations.

| Location/ year | Application | | PHI, days | Residue(mg/kg) | | Reference |
|-------------------|-------------|--------|--------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|------------|
| | kg ai/ha | No. | | roots | leaves | |
| France, 1990 | 1.5 | 2 | 64 65 | <0.01,0.02 <0.01,0.09 | 0.1,8.2 2.2,8.4 | 181 |
| Italy, 1990 | 1.0 1.5 | 3 3 | 16 16 | <0.01 <0.01,0.02 | 1.4 <u>9,8,4.7</u> | 182 182 |
| Italy, 1990 | 1.5 | 2 | 0 7 14 21 28 | 0.05 0.07 <u>0.02</u> 0.05 0.05 | 39 22 <u>14</u> 8.3 6.4 | 184 |
| Italy, 1990 | 1.5 | 2 | 0 7 14 21 28 | 0.05 0.03 0.05 0.16 0.03 | 34 23 <u>14</u> 5.4 4.6 | 184 |
| Italy, 1990 | 1.0 1.5 | 3 3 | 18 18 | 0.02 <u>0.07,0.10</u> | 0.33 <u>0.33,5.6</u> | 185 185 |
| Italy, 1990 | 0.6 | 2 | 14 21 | 0.01 <0.01 | 1.1 0.41 | 186 |
| Italy, 1990 | 0.6 | 2 | 14 21 | <0.01 <0.01 | 0.30 0.11 | 187 |

Underlined results reflect GAP in Greece.

Celery (Table 20). Products containing chlorothalonil are registered for use on celery in Australia, Canada, the USA and several European countries. Maximum treatment rates are in the range 1-2.5 kg ai/ha and minimum PHIs are 1-28 days.

Supervised trials have been carried out in the USA, France and the UK. The US GAP of 2.5 kg ai/ha with a PHI of 7 days was used in a number of trials. Residue levels in samples treated in this way were 0.03-9.8 mg/kg.

Table 20. Residues of chlorothalonil in celery from supervised trials in France, the UK and the USA. Products used were SC formulations.

| Location/ year | Application | | PHI, days | Residue (mg/kg) | Ref. |
|---------------------|-------------|-----|---------------------|-------------------------------------|------|
| | kg ai/ha | No. | | | |
| France, 1981 | 1.5 | 4 | 0 7 14 21 | 8.6 6.5 6.2 2.7 | 192 |
| France, 1980 | 1.5 | 4 | 0 14 21 28 | 36 25 10 5.3 | 193 |
| France, 1981 | 1.1 | 4 | 0 7 14 21 | 7.0 5.5 4.5 4.5 | 194 |
| UK, 1980 | 1.5 | 1 | 7 14 | 0.34 0.41 | 191 |
| | 3.0 | 1 | 7 14 | <u>0.23</u> <u>1.1</u> | 191 |
| USA, Michigan, 1980 | 2.5 | 8 | 0 7 | 6.9, 4.2, 2.4 <u>3.2,1.4,2.5</u> | 188 |

| Location/ year | Application kg ai/ha | No. | PHI, days | Residue (mg/kg) | Ref. |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----|--------------------|-------------------------------------|------|
| France, 1981 | 1.5 | 4 | 0 7 14 21 | 8.6 6.5 6.2 2.7 | 192 |
| USA, California, 1980 | 2.5 | 7 | 7 | 0.03, 0.04(2) <u>1.3(2), 1.1</u> | 188 |
| USA, California, 1986 | 2.5* | 10 | 7 | <u>4.3</u> | 189 |
| USA, Michigan, 1986 | 2.5 | 15 | 1 | 9.8 | 190 |
| USA, Florida, 1987 | 2.5 | 16 | 7 | <u>2.9</u> | 190 |

Underlined results reflect US GAP.

Barley (Table 21). GAP for barley has been reported for several European countries. Maximum application rates are 1.0-1.4 kg ai/ha and minimum PHIs are 42 days or expressed as the latest time of treatment to be complete at ear emergence or earlier.

Supervised trials have been carried out in Germany and the UK. Residue levels in trials where treatment was within 50 days of harvest using rates and numbers of applications which are GAP in at least one country were <0.01-1.4 mg/kg in grain and 0.36-8.1 mg/kg in straw.

Table 21. Residues of chlorothalonil in barley grain and straw from supervised trials in Germany and the UK.

| Location, year | Form | Application | | PHI, days | Residue (mg/kg) | | Ref |
|-------------------|------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----|
| | | kg ai/ha | No. | | grain | straw | |
| Germany, 1983 | SC | 1.0 | 1 | 42 | <u><0.01</u> | <u>5.2</u> | 208 |
| Germany, 1983 | SC | 1.0 | 2 | 42 | <u>ND*</u> | 1.7 | 209 |
| Germany, 1983 | SC | 1.0 | 2 | 53 | ND* | 2.9 | 210 |
| Germany, 1983 | SC | 1.0 | 2 | 59 | ND* | 0.83 | 211 |
| Germany, 1983 | SC | 1.0 | 2 | 30 | 0.02 | 1.0 | 213 |
| UK, 1988 | SC | 0.75 | 1 | 74 | <0.01 | <0.01 | 219 |
| UK, 1988 | SC | 0.75 | 1 | 53 | <0.01 | 0.02 | 220 |
| UK, 1981 | SC | 1.1 | 2 | 93 | <0.01 | 1.0 | 221 |
| | | | 1 | 122 | <0.01(2) | 0.70, 0.16 | 221 |
| UK, 1981 | SC | 1.1 | 2 1 | 67 119 | <0.01 <0.01(2) | 0.05 <0.01, 0.03 | 222 |
| UK, 1981 | SC | 1.1 | 2 1 | 61 118 | <0.01 <0.01(2) | 0.13 <0.01(2) | 223 |
| UK, 1988 | SC | 0.75 | 2 1 1 | 56 56 93 | <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 | 0.05 0.04 0.02 | 224 |
| UK, 1988 | SC | 0.75 | 2 1 1 | 75 75 104 | <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 | 0.15 0.09 0.13 | 225 |
| UK, 1988 | SC | 0.75 | 2 1 1 | 71 71 104 | <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 | 0.02 0.02 <0.01 | 226 |

chlorothalonil

| Location, year | Form | Application | | PHI, days | Residue (mg/kg) | | Ref |
|-------------------|------|-------------|-----|--------------|-----------------|-------------|-----|
| | | kg ai/ha | No. | | grain | straw | |
| UK, 1988 | SC | 0.75 | 1 | 91 | <0.01 | 0.04 | 227 |
| UK, 1990 | SC | 0.9 | 2 | 50 | 0.05 | 4.1 | 228 |
| | | 1.8 | 2 | 50 | 0.05 | 11 | |
| | SC | 0.75 | 2 | 50 | 0.02 | 2.1 | 228 |
| | | 1.5 | 2 | 50 | <u>0.05</u> | <u>8.1</u> | |
| | SC | 0.9 | 2 | 49 | <u>0.03</u> | <u>0.36</u> | 228 |
| | | 1.8 | 2 | 49 | <u>0.08</u> | <u>0.94</u> | |
| | SC | 0.75 | 2 | 49 | 0.03 | 0.97 | 228 |
| | | 1.5 | 2 | 49 | 0.49 | 4.9 | |
| | SC | 0.9 | 2 | 49 | <u>0.04</u> | <u>2.4</u> | 228 |
| | | 1.8 | 2 | 49 | <u>0.29</u> | <u>6.2</u> | |
| | SC | 0.75 | 2 | 49 | <u>0.08</u> | 3.7 | 228 |
| | | 1.5 | 2 | 49 | <u>1.4</u> | <u>16</u> | |

*limit of determination not reported

Results underlined once reflect use at 1.4 kg ai/ha, 49-day PHI.

Results underlined twice reflect use at 1.0 kg ai/ha, 49-day PHI.

Wheat (Table 22). GAP for wheat has been reported for Canada (a proposed use) and several European countries. Maximum application rates are 0.5-1.5 kg ai/ha and minimum PHIs are 15-42 days. In some countries the latest time of treatment is specified as ear emergence complete.

Supervised trials have been carried out in a number of European countries. Residue levels in samples where treatment was within 49 days of harvest using rates and numbers of applications which are GAP in at least one country were <0.01-0.09 mg/kg in grain and 0.09-3.1 mg/kg in straw. Average residues in these data sets (one result, the mean, taken for each location, n = 20) were 0.01 mg/kg in grain and 0.8 mg/kg in straw.

Table 22. Residues of chlorothalonil in wheat grain and straw from supervised trials in Denmark, France, Germany and the UK.

| Location/ year | Form | Application | | PHI, days | Residue (mg/kg) | | Ref. |
|-------------------|------|-------------|-----|--------------|-----------------|-------------------------|------|
| | | kg ai/ha | No. | | grain | straw | |
| Denmark, 1980 | SC | 1.4 | 1 | 35 | <0.01 | 0.09 | 195 |
| | SC | 1.4 | 1 | 54 | <0.01 | 0.44 | 195 |
| | SC | 0.75 | 1 | 35 | <0.01 | 0.11 | 195 |
| | SC | 0.75 | 1 | 54 | <0.01 | 0.17 | 195 |
| Denmark, 1980 | SC | 1.4 | 1 | 35 | <0.01 | 0.23 | 196 |
| | SC | 1.4 | 1 | 54 | <0.01 | 0.53 | 196 |
| | SC | 0.75 | 1 | 35 | <0.01 | 0.10 | 196 |
| | SC | 0.75 | 1 | 54 | <0.01 | 0.12 | 196 |
| Denmark, 1980 | SC | 1.4 | 1 | 35 | <0.01 | 0.11 | 197 |
| | SC | 1.4 | 1 | 54 | <0.01 | 0.18 | 197 |
| | SC | 0.75 | 1 | 35 | <0.01 | 0.18 | 197 |
| | SC | 0.75 | 1 | 54 | <0.01 | 0.16 | 197 |
| France, 1990 | SC | 1.1 | 2 | 57 | <0.01(4) | 0.40,0.41, 0.26,0.37 | 198 |

chlorothalonil

| Location/ year | Form | Application | | PHI, days | Residue (mg/kg) | | Ref. |
|-------------------|------|-------------|-----|--------------|----------------------------|---|------|
| | | kg ai/ha | No. | | grain | straw | |
| | DG | 1.1 | 2 | 57 | <0.01(2), 0.01(2) | 0.21,0.37 | 198 |
| France, 1990 | SC | 1.1 | 2 | 81 | <0.01(4) | 0.75,0.57, 0.49,0.63 | 199 |
| | DG | 1.1 | 2 | 81 | <0.01, 0.01 | 0.97,0.53 | 199 |
| France, 1990 | SC | 1.1 | 2 | 43 | <0.01(2) <u>0.01(2)</u> | <u>0.55,1.2,</u> <u>1.0,1.8</u> | 200 |
| | DG | 1.1 | 2 | 43 | <0.01(2) | 0.62,1.1 | |
| France, 1990 | SC | 1.1 | 2 | 62 | <0.01(4) | 0.32,0.40, 0.66,1.2 | 201 |
| | DG | 1.1 | 2 | 62 | <0.01(2) | 0.27,0.44 | |
| France, 1990 | SC | 1.1 | 1 | 57 | <0.01(2) | 0.18,0.75 | 202 |
| France, 1990 | SC | 1.1 | 1 | 58 | <0.01(2) | 0.19,0.07 | 203 |
| France, 1990 | SC | 1.1 | 1 | 55 | <0.01(2) | 1.0,1.2 | 204 |
| | DG | 1.1 | 1 | 55 | <0.01 | 0.70 | |
| France, 1991 | SC | 1.1 | 2 | 45 | <0.01(2) | 0.54,0.94 | 205 |
| | DG | 1.1 | 2 | 45 | <0.01(2) | 0.74,0.70 | |
| France, 1991 | SC | 1.1 | 2 | 46 | <0.01(2) | 1.4,1.8 | 206 |
| | DG | 1.1 | 2 | 46 | <0.01(2) | 1.6,1.9 | |
| France, 1991 | SC | 1.1 | 1 | 81 | <0.01(8) | 0.09,0.16, 0.30,0.31, 0.43,0.45, 0.73,1.39 | 207 |
| | DG | 1.1 | 1 | 81 | <0.01(8) | 0.12, 0.13(2), 0.14,0.19, 0.43,0.49, 0.61 | 207 |
| Germany, 1982 | SC | 1.1 | 1 | 48 | <0.01 | 0.29 | 216 |
| Germany, 1982 | SC | 1.1 | 1 | 35 | <0.01 | 0.18 | 217 |
| Netherlands, 1980 | SC | 1.0 | 1 | 68 | <0.01 | 0.38 | 218 |
| UK, 1988 | SC | 0.75 | 1 | 86 | <0.02 | 0.13 | 229 |
| UK, 1988 | SC | 0.75 | 1 | 79 | <0.01 | 0.04 | 230 |
| UK, 1988 | SC | 0.75 | 1 | 68 | <0.01 | 0.02 | 231 |
| UK, 1989 | SC | 1.0 | 4 | 49 | <0.01 | 1.7 | 232 |
| UK, 1989 | SC | 1.0 | 4 | 59 | <0.01 | 2.4 | 233 |
| UK, 1989 | SC | 1.0 | 4 | 43 | <0.01 | 0.27 | 234 |
| UK, 1989 | SC | 0.5+1.0 | 2 | 49 | <0.01 | 0.33 | 235 |
| | | 0.5+1.0 | 2 | 63 | <0.01 | 0.11 | 235 |
| | | 0.5+1.0 | 2 | 82 | <0.01 | 0.19 | 235 |
| UK, 1989 | SC | 0.5+1.0 | 2 | 59 | <0.01 | 0.14 | 236 |
| | | 0.5+1.0 | 2 | 73 | <0.01 | 0.15 | 236 |
| | | 0.5+1.0 | 2 | 89 | <0.01 | 0.05 | 236 |
| UK, 1989 | SC | 0.5+1.0 | 2 | 43 | <0.01 | 0.15 | 237 |
| | | 0.5+1.0 | 2 | 57 | <0.01 | 0.09 | 237 |
| | | 0.5+1.0 | 2 | 76 | <0.01 | 0.05 | 237 |
| UK, 1989 | SC | 1.0 | 1 | 61 | <0.01(2) | 0.19,0.17 | 238 |
| UK, 1989 | SC | 1.0 | 1 | 43 | <0.01(2) | 0.14,0.13 | 239 |
| UK, 1988 | SC | 1.0 | 1 | 50 | <0.01 | 0.29 | 240 |
| UK, 1988 | SC | 0.9 | 1 | 73 | <0.01 | 0.02 | 241 |
| UK, 1988 | SC | 0.9 | 1 | 86 | <0.01 | 0.05 | 242 |
| UK, 1988 | SC | 0.9 | 1 | 79 | <0.01 | 0.02 | 243 |

chlorothalonil

| Location/ year | Form | Application | | PHI, days | Residue (mg/kg) | | Ref. |
|-------------------|------|-------------|-----|--------------|----------------------|-----------------|------|
| | | kg ai/ha | No. | | grain | straw | |
| UK, 1990 | SC | 0.5+1.0 | 2 | 41 | <u>0.02</u> | <u>0.61</u> | 244 |
| | | 0.5+1.0 | 2 | 70 | 0.02 | 1.2 | 244 |
| | SC | 1.0+2.0 | 2 | 41 | 0.05 | 3.7 | 244 |
| | | 1.0+2.0 | 2 | 70 | 0.03 | 8.3 | 244 |
| UK, 1990 | SC | 0.5+1.0 | 2 | 41 | <u><0.01</u> | <u>0.58</u> | 244 |
| | | | | 60 | <u><0.01</u> | <u>0.92</u> | 244 |
| | | 1.0+2.0 | 2 | 41 | 0.04 | 7.9 | 244 |
| | | | | 60 | 0.02 | 9.2 | 244 |
| UK, 1990 | SC | 0.5+1.0 | 2 | 45 | <u><0.01</u> | <u>0.74</u> | 244 |
| | | | | 74 | <u><0.01</u> | <u>1.2</u> | 244 |
| | | 1.0+2.0 | 2 | 45 | 0.04 | 7.3 | 244 |
| | | | | 74 | <0.01 | 5.5 | 244 |
| UK, 1990 | SC | 0.5+1.0 | 2 | 41 | <u><0.01</u> | <u>2.3</u> | 245 |
| | | | | 70 | <u><0.01</u> | <u>1.2</u> | 245 |
| | | 1.0+2.0 | 2 | 41 | 0.04 | 12 | 245 |
| | | | | 70 | 0.05 | 20 | 245 |
| UK, 1990 | SC | 0.5+1.0 | 2 | 41 | <u><0.01</u> | <u>0.70</u> | 245 |
| | | | | 60 | <u><0.01</u> | <u>0.13</u> | 245 |
| | SC | 1.0+2.0 | 2 | 41 | 0.02 | 5.9 | 245 |
| | | | | 60 | 0.05 | 8.9 | 245 |
| | SC | 0.5+1.0 | 2 | 45 | <u>0.01</u> | <u>1.4</u> | 245 |
| | | | | 74 | <u><0.01</u> | <u>0.09</u> | 245 |
| | SC | 1.0+2.0 | 2 | 45 | 0.03 | 5.1 | 245 |
| | | | | 74 | 0.03 | 7.3 | 245 |
| UK, 1990 | DG | 1.3 | 1 | 84 | <0.01(2) | 2.1,2.2 | 246 |
| | | | | 1 | | | |
| | | 3.0 | 1 | 84 | 0.04, 0.08 | 3.2,7.0 | 246 |
| | | | | | | | |
| UK, 1990 | DG | 1.3 | 1 | 77 | <0.01(2) | 1.9,1.6 | 246 |
| | | 3.0 | 1 | 77 | <0.01(2) | 3.7,2.2 | 246 |
| UK, 1990 | DG | 1.3 | 1 | 77 | <0.01(2) | 1.3,0.58 | 246 |
| | | 3.0 | 1 | 77 | 0.06,0.07 | 4.4,9.9 | 246 |
| UK, 1990 | SC | 0.6 | 1 | 83 | <0.01 | 0.46 | 247 |
| | | 1.2 | 1 | 83 | 0.02 | 4.7 | 247 |
| | | 0.75 | 1 | 83 | <0.01 | 0.06 | 247 |
| | | 1.5 | 1 | 83 | <0.01 | 0.15 | 247 |
| UK, 1990 | SC | 0.6 | 1 | 74 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 247 |
| | | 1.2 | 1 | 74 | <0.01 | 0.98 | 247 |
| | | 0.75 | 1 | 74 | <0.01 | 0.24 | 247 |
| | | 1.5 | 1 | 74 | <0.01 | 1.5 | 247 |
| UK, 1990 | SC | 0.6 | 1 | 88 | <0.01 | 0.62 | 247 |
| | | 1.2 | 1 | 88 | <0.01 | 1.2 | 247 |
| | | 0.75 | 1 | 88 | <0.01 | 0.22 | 247 |
| | | 1.5 | 1 | 88 | <0.01 | 0.41 | 247 |
| UK, 1991 | SC | 0.5+1.0 | 2 | 37 | <u>0.04,0.05</u> | <u>1.5, 1.3</u> | 248 |
| | | 1.0+2.0 | 2 | 37 | <u>0.16,0.14</u> | <u>7.1,8.5</u> | 248 |
| | DG | 0.5+1.0 | 2 | 37 | <u>0.07,0.09</u> | <u>1.5(2)</u> | 248 |
| | | 1.0+2.0 | 2 | 37 | <u>0.19,0.24</u> | <u>6.8,7.9</u> | 248 |
| UK, 1991 | SC | 0.5+1.0 | 2 | 37 | <0.01(2) | <u>1.3,3.1</u> | 248 |
| | | 1.0+2.0 | 2 | 37 | <u>0.02,0.03</u> | <u>10,12</u> | 248 |
| | DG | 0.5+1.0 | 2 | 37 | <u><0.01,0.01</u> | <u>0.9, 1.3</u> | 248 |
| | | 1.0+2.0 | 2 | 37 | <u>0.02(2)</u> | <u>6.0,5.7</u> | 248 |
| UK, 1991 | SC | 0.5+1.0 | 2 | 37 | <u>0.01,0.02</u> | <u>2.2,0.8</u> | 248 |
| | | 1.0+2.0 | 2 | 37 | <u>0.10,0.18</u> | <u>15,10</u> | 248 |
| | DG | 0.5+1.0 | 2 | 37 | <u>0.01,0.03</u> | <u>1.1,1.4</u> | 248 |
| | | 1.0+2.0 | 2 | 37 | <u>0.08,0.15</u> | <u>6.5,5.5</u> | 248 |

Underlined results reflect use up to 1.5 kg ai/ha, PHI 35-49 days.

Oats and rye (Table 23). A single trial on oats and two trials on rye were reported from Germany. Residues in the grain were ≤ 0.02 mg/kg and in the straw 0.04-0.41 mg/kg.

Table 23. Residues of chlorothalonil in oat and rye grain and

straw from supervised trials carried out in Germany in 1983 with an SC formulation.

| Crop | Application kg ai/ha No. | | PHI, days | Residue (mg/kg) | | Ref. |
|------|--------------------------------|---|-----------|-----------------|-------|------|
| | | | | grain | straw | |
| Oats | 1.0 | 2 | 51 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 212 |
| Rye | 1.0 | 2 | 76 | ND* | 0.12 | 214 |
| Rye | 1.0 | 2 | 69 | ND* | 0.41 | 215 |

*Limit of determination not reported

Peanuts (Table 24). In supervised trials carried out in the USA and using treatment regimes within the maximum USA GAP residues were <0.01-0.03 mg/kg in nut-meat and <0.01-0.18 mg/kg in hulls.

Table 24. Residues of chlorothalonil in peanuts from supervised trials carried out in the USA using SC formulations.

| Location, year | Application | | PHI, days | Residue (mg/kg) | | Ref. |
|-------------------|-------------|-----|--------------|-----------------|-------------|------|
| | kg ai/ha | No. | | nut-meat | hull | |
| Florida, 1986 | 1.3 | 7 | 12 | <u><0.01</u> | <u>0.02</u> | 249 |
| Texas, 1986 | 1.3 | 6 | 13 | <u>0.01</u> | <u>0.06</u> | 249 |
| Alabama, 1986 | 1.3 | 8 | 17 | <u><0.01</u> | <u>0.08</u> | 249 |
| Alabama, 1986 | 1.3 | 8 | 17 | <u><0.01</u> | <u>0.10</u> | 249 |
| Texas, 1986 | 1.3 | 6 | 13 | <u><0.01</u> | <u>0.04</u> | 249 |
| Texas, 1986 | 1.3 | 6 | 13 | <u><0.01</u> | <u>0.07</u> | 249 |
| Texas, 1986 | 1.3 | 7 | 17 | <u><0.01</u> | <u>0.08</u> | 249 |
| Georgia, 1986 | 1.3 | 7 | 22 | 0.03 | 0.18 | 249 |
| Virginia, 1986 | 1.3 | 7 | 27 | <0.01 | 0.11 | 249 |
| S Carolina, 1986 | 1.3 | 8 | 32 | <0.01 | 0.03 | 249 |
| N Carolina, 1986 | 1.3 | 5 | 35 | <0.01 | 0.09 | 249 |
| Oklahoma, 1986 | 1.3 | 6 | 43 | <0.01 | <0.01 | 249 |

Underlined results reflect use within US GAP.

FATE OF RESIDUES

In plants

The metabolism of chlorothalonil has been investigated in lettuce, tomato, carrot and celery.

Lettuce. Lettuce plants growing in an environmental chamber were treated four times with [¹⁴C]chlorothalonil at a rate equivalent to 1.8 kg ai/ha (Nelsen *et al.*, 1985). Concentrations of radioactivity in samples harvested 1-21 days after the last treatment were 100-200 mg chlorothalonil equivalent/kg. Nearly all (>80%) of the radioactivity was

associated with chlorothalonil. The rest was characterized as 4-hydroxy-2,5,6-trichloroisophthalonitrile (SDS-3701, DAC-3701) (up to 2%) or was associated with uncharacterized water-soluble (up to 7%) or unextracted (up to 5%) material.

Tomato. Applications of [¹⁴C]chlorothalonil at a rate equivalent to 2.3 kg ai/ha to tomato plants growing in an environmental chamber resulted 1, 7 and 14 days later in total residues in fruit of 2.6, 0.6 and 0.6 mg chlorothalonil equivalent/kg respectively (Nelsen and Duane, 1988). The major component of the residue was chlorothalonil which accounted for 76% of the residue at day 1 and 58% at day 14. The metabolite SDS-3701 was found in fruit, contributing 2-5% of the total residue. The balance of radioactivity was associated with polar water-soluble material (up to 32%) which appeared to contain predominantly conjugated derivatives of chlorothalonil, as well as small amounts (up to 5%) of unextractable material.

Carrot. Carrot plants were treated three times at weekly intervals at 1.6 kg ai/ha (Nelsen *et al.*, 1987). Samples of roots taken 1, 7, 14 and 21 days after the last treatment contained total radioactive residues equivalent to 0.07, 0.02, 0.01 and 0.03 mg parent/kg. The residue in the 21-day sample was made up as follows: 40% chlorothalonil, 3% SDS-3701, 15% uncharacterized organosoluble, 20% uncharacterized water-soluble and 30% unextracted material.

Celery. Total radioactive residues in celery stalks harvested 7 and 21 days after the last of 12 applications at rates equivalent to 2.4 kg ai/ha were 1.0-4.6 and 0.7-1.4 mg/kg respectively (Huhtanen, 1992). Chlorothalonil was a significant component (10-56%, average 43%) of the total residue in all stalk samples. SDS-3701 and 3-carboxy-2,5,6-trichlorobenzamide (SDS-46851, DAC-46851) were not found. The balance of radioactivity was associated with a large number of minor components which could not be characterized by comparison with standard materials and did not generate characterizable materials on acid or enzyme hydrolysis.

In storage and processing

A number of studies have been conducted to investigate the fate of chlorothalonil residues during storage and handling or processing of crops prior to consumption.

In several of these studies levels of SDS-3701, SDS-46851, hexachlorobenzene (HCB) and pentachlorobenzonitrile (PCBN) were also measured in processing fractions. Levels of these metabolites and of formulation impurities were low in all samples.

Cherry (Anon, undated). Levels of chlorothalonil in treated cherries (6 x 1.8 kg ai/ha, 7-day PHI) and washed and processed cherries were as follows.

| | Residue | |
|---------------------|---------|--------------|
| | mg/kg | % of initial |
| whole unwashed | 2.7 | 100 |
| whole washed | 0.52 | 19 |
| pitted washed | 0.38 | 14 |
| canned (with water) | 0.03 | 1 |
| canned (with syrup) | 0.03 | 1 |

Chlorothalonil was found in the waste liquid from the pitter (2.6 mg/kg), in cherry stones (0.06 mg/kg) and in wash water (0.03-0.27 mg/kg). No SDS-3701 (<0.01 mg/kg) was found in any sample.

Peach (Anon, undated). Levels of chlorothalonil in treated peaches (6 x 0.9 kg ai/ha, 7-day PHI) and their processed products were as follows:

| | Residue | |
|------------------------|---------|--------------|
| | mg/kg | % of initial |
| whole unwashed | 13 | 100 |
| whole washed (water) | 5.9 | 45 |
| whole peeled (caustic) | 0.21 | 2 |
| canned peach puree | <0.01 | - |

Chlorothalonil was not found (<0.01 mg/kg) in waste pulp. SDS-3701 was not found (<0.01 mg/kg) in waste pulp or peach puree but was found (0.13 mg/kg) in whole peeled peaches.

Grapes (Table 5). In trials carried out in 1987 in France residues were not found (<0.0025 mg/kg) in wine prepared from grapes containing low residues (0.02 mg/kg) of chlorothalonil.

Cabbage (King *et al.*, 1986) Residue levels in treated cabbages were determined at 3 points in the distribution chain: at the farm gate, on leaving the packing house and at retail outlets. Samples were taken and traced from four locations through 3 packing houses to a total of 37 grocery stores.

Residue levels at retail outlets were 8.5% of those present at harvest.

Cucumber. The effect of commercial processing on residue levels in treated cucumbers (2 x 6.54 l/ha Bravo 500, 12-hour PHI) has been investigated (King and Ballee, 1987). Chlorothalonil concentrations were 1.3 mg/kg in unwashed cucumbers, 0.71 mg/kg after washing and 0.52 mg/kg following additional rinsing. After slicing and soaking in brine for 1 hour the residue level was 0.38 mg/kg. After a boiling vinegar/water/sugar solution was added and pickle slices were allowed to cool the residue level was 0.11 mg/kg. After canning, including heating at 210°F for 10 minutes, residue levels in hot canned pickle slices were 0.01 mg/kg. Thus 98% of the residue was lost during commercial processing.

In a separate study (Marks, 1987), treated cucumbers (3 or

4 x 1.8 kg ai/ha, 0- or 1-day PHI) were sampled at 3 points in the distribution chain: at the farm gate (4 sites), at the exits from packing houses (4 houses) and at retail outlets (a total of 40 stores or restaurants/delicatessens). The ranges of residue levels found were 0.02-0.79, <0.01-0.05 and <0.01-0.04 mg/kg at the respective locations. Residue levels decreased to 8-14% of initial levels at the packing houses, where samples were washed, brushed and waxed.

Squash (King and Prince, 1990a). Levels of chlorothalonil in treated squash (11 x 2.6 kg ai/ha, 0-day PHI) and sequential fractions of processed squash were as follows.

| | Residue | |
|------------------|---------|--------------|
| | mg/kg | % of initial |
| whole unwashed | 3.2 | 100 |
| peeled, deseeded | <0.01 | - |
| milled | <0.01 | - |
| partially cooked | <0.01 | - |
| baby food | <0.01 | - |

Chlorothalonil was not found (<0.01 mg/kg) in finisher waste and 0.15 mg/kg was found in squash waste. SDS-3701 was not found except at very low levels in whole unwashed squash (0.02 mg/kg) and finisher waste (0.01 mg/kg). SDS 46851 was not found except for low levels in whole unwashed fruit (0.06 mg/kg), peeled squash (0.04 mg/kg) and squash waste (0.03 mg/kg). HCB and PCBN were only found in waste (0.003 or 0.005 mg/kg and 0.008 mg/kg, respectively).

Tomato. Levels of chlorothalonil have been measured in treated tomatoes (5, 7 or 9 x 1.8 or 1.6 kg ai/ha 4,7 or 12-day PHI) and processed tomatoes (Anon, undated). Two processing procedures were used; both simulated commercial practice. In one the peel was removed and in the other it was retained. Results were as follows.

| | Residue, mg/kg | |
|-------------------------|----------------|---------|
| <u>Peel removed</u> | | |
| whole unwashed | i) 3.2 | ii) 1.8 |
| whole washed | 0.2 | 0.02 |
| whole washed and rinsed | 0.2 | - |
| pomace | 0.02 | 0.03 |
| juice | <0.01 | <0.01 |
| <u>Peel retained</u> | | |
| whole unwashed | 4.0 | |
| pomace | 0.62 | |
| canned tomatoes | <0.01 | |
| canned tomato paste | <0.01 | |
| canned tomato juice | <0.01 | |

In a second study tomatoes were treated 7 times at 2.5 kg ai/ha or double that rate (Szalkowski *et al.*, 1980). Samples (400 lb from each plot) were harvested one day after the last treatment. Tomatoes were processed using a commercial method

which does not remove skins during the early stages of processing. Fruits were power-washed with cold water, passed through a disintegrator and heated to 140-150°F before passing through a cyclone separator with 0.093 and 0.060 inch finisher screens in place. Juice was hot-filled at 150°F and air-cooled. Paste (36-40% solids) was prepared by vacuum distillation at 140-150°F and hot-filled at 150°F. Chlorothalonil concentrations in processing fractions (mg/kg) were as follows:

| | <u>2.5 kg/ha</u> | | <u>5 kg/ha</u> |
|----------------|------------------|-----|----------------|
| whole unwashed | 2.5 | | 4.7 |
| whole washed | 0.65 | | 1.2 |
| pomace | 2.2 | 3.8 | |
| juice | 0.02 | | 0.78 |
| paste | <0.01 | | 0.02 |

Chlorothalonil was found in wash water (0.4 or 0.2 mg/kg) but not in condensate (<0.0003 mg/kg). Levels of SDS-3701 were low in all fractions.

In another study (Dillon, 1986a) treated tomatoes were sampled at 3 points in the distribution chain: in the field, at the packing house and at the point of retail sale. Crops had been treated 2-15 times at 0.2-2.3 kg ai/ha and were harvested one day after the last treatment. Four crop locations, four packing houses and 40 retail outlets were investigated. Fruit were washed, dried and waxed in the packing house. Residue levels were 0.12-2.9 mg/kg in field samples, <0.01-0.07mg/kg in packing house samples and <0.01-0.03 mg/kg in retail samples. The residue loss at the packing house was 98%.

Snap beans (Ballee *et al.*, 1980). Treated samples (no treatment details given) were mechanically harvested, air-cleaned, then washed with water, blanched and canned or washed twice, sliced and blanched for freezing. Chlorothalonil concentrations (mg/kg) in the processed beans were as follows.

| <u>Canning</u> | | <u>Freezing</u> | |
|--------------------|-------|-------------------|-------|
| at harvest | 0.84 | at harvest | 0.78 |
| after air cleaning | 0.54 | after first wash | 0.16 |
| washed | <0.01 | after second wash | 0.09 |
| blanched | <0.01 | after slicing | 0.10 |
| canned | <0.01 | after blanching | <0.01 |

Concentrations of chlorothalonil in canning waste were 29 mg/kg in field trash, 5.2 mg/kg in air cleaner trash, 0.02 mg/kg in solid waste, 0.05 mg/l in wash water and 0.05 mg/l in water discharged from the plant. In freezing waste they were 0.11 mg/kg in bean waste, 0.005 mg/l in first wash water, 1 mg/l in second wash water and 0.004 mg/l in water discharged from the plant.

Carrots (King and Prince, 1990b). Carrot crops were treated 11 times at 2.3 or 23 kg ai/ha and harvested on the day of the last treatment. Root samples (400 lbs) were peeled, cooked, and pureed, then canned. Concentrations of chlorothalonil (mg/kg) were as follows.

| | <u>Lower rate</u> | <u>Higher rate</u> |
|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| whole, unwashed | 0.04 | 2.2 |
| whole, peeled | <0.01 | <0.01 |
| pureed | <0.01 | <0.01 |
| cooked | <0.01 | <0.01 |
| canned baby food | <0.01 | <0.01 |

Potatoes (Dillon *et al.*, 1986). Potato crops were treated 8 times at 0.6 or 1.2 kg ai/ha. Vines were killed 14 days after the last treatment. Eight days later crops were treated at 0, 2, 8 or 16 pints/acre. Residues of chlorothalonil were not found (<0.01 mg/kg) except in samples treated at the highest rate. Potato samples were washed and peeled, then either sliced and crisped or diced, cooked, dehydrated to granular potato and/or powdered. Residue levels (mg chlorothalonil/kg) were as follows.

| | | |
|-----------------|-------|-----------------------|
| whole, unwashed | 0.01 | |
| peeled, washed | <0.01 | |
| crisps, sliced | <0.01 | dried diced |
| <0.01 | | |
| crisps | <0.01 | cooked <0.01 |
| | | dried, granular <0.01 |
| | | dried, powdered <0.01 |

Chlorothalonil levels were 2.8 mg/kg in the wash water and 0.04 mg/kg in the peel.

Celery (Dillon, 1986b). Samples of treated celery were taken for analysis at 3 points in the distribution chain: in the field, at the packing house and at the point of retail sale (grocery or restaurant). Crops had been treated 2-11 times at 1.1-2.4 kg ai/ha and were harvested 7 or 8 days after the last treatment. Four treatment locations, 4 packing houses and 40 retail outlets were included. Concentrations of chlorothalonil were 0.12-7.3 mg/kg in the field, 0.06-6.5 mg/kg at the packing house, 0.06-1.6 mg/kg at grocery stores and <0.01-0.82 mg/kg at restaurants. Samples taken at restaurants were sliced or diced using normal procedures. The loss of residues was 49% in the packing house and end users received 25% of field residues in grocery stores and 3% in restaurants.

Peanuts (Kenyon and Ballee, 1987). Plants were either treated 11 times at 1.2 kg ai/ha and combined 16 days after the last application or 13 times at 1.2 kg ai/ha and combined 6 days after the last treatment. Residues were found only when the latter regime was used. Samples (40 lbs) were shelled and pressed; crude oil was refined. Residue levels (mg/kg) were as

follows:

| | |
|---|-------|
| field sample, nut-meat | 0.01 |
| nut-meat before processing | 0.02 |
| crude oil (after pressing) | <0.01 |
| crude oil (solvent extracted from presscake) | 0.01 |
| refined oil | <0.01 |

Chlorothalonil was not found (<0.01 mg/kg) in the presscake following solvent extraction or in soapstock but was present in hulls (0.19-0.40 mg/kg) and trash (0.42 mg/kg).

Stability of pesticide residues in stored analytical samples

Cherries (King *et al.*, 1990a). Residues of chlorothalonil in sour cherries were stable during freezer storage for one year; two samples were taken for analysis after 0, 1, 7, 29, 85, 194, 271 and 362 days storage. Samples for storage were harvested two hours after the last of 10 treatments at 3.4 kg ai/ha and had field-incurred residues of 10 mg/kg.

Cucumbers (Wiedmann and Ballee, 1990). Samples were taken one hour after the last of four treatments at 5 or 7.5 kg ai/ha and had field-incurred residues of 1 mg chlorothalonil/kg. Residues of chlorothalonil were stable during freezer storage for one year; four samples were taken for analysis after 0, 1, 7, 28, 91, 182, 276 and 360 days storage.

Tomatoes (Kenyon *et al.*, 1990a). Residues of chlorothalonil in tomatoes were stable during freezer storage for one year; four samples were taken for analysis after 0, 1, 7, 30, 92, 174, 274 and 363 days storage. Samples for storage were harvested 1½ hours after the last of nine treatments at 2.5 or 7.5 kg ai/ha and had field-incurred residues of 10 mg chlorothalonil/kg.

Carrots (Rose *et al.*, 1990a). Samples were taken five hours after the last of 11 treatments at 17 kg ai/ha and had field-incurred residues of 1.5 mg chlorothalonil/kg. Residues of chlorothalonil were stable during freezer storage for one year; four samples were taken for analysis after 0, 1, 6, 33, 90, 180, 270 and 363 days storage.

Potatoes (Rose *et al.*, 1990b). Residues of chlorothalonil in potatoes were stable during freezer storage for one year; four samples were taken for analysis after 0, 1, 7, 30, 90, 180, 270 and 363 days storage. Samples for storage were harvested on the day of the last of 15 applications at 12 kg ai/ha and had field-incurred residues of 2 mg chlorothalonil/kg.

Celery (King *et al.*, 1990b). Samples were taken one hour after the last of 16 treatments at 2.5 kg ai/ha and had field-incurred residues of 5 mg chlorothalonil/kg. Residues of chlorothalonil were stable during freezer storage for one year; four samples were taken for analysis after 0, 1, 7, 28,

91, 181, 280 and 364 days storage.

Wheat grain (Kenyon *et al.*, 1990b). Residues of chlorothalonil in wheat grain were stable during freezer storage for one year; four samples were taken for analysis after 0, 1, 7, 30, 91, 179, 273 and 362 days storage. Samples for storage were harvested on the day of the last of seven treatments at 12 kg ai/ha and had field-incurred residues of 40 mg chlorothalonil/kg.

Peanuts (King *et al.*, 1991). Samples of nut-meat were taken on the day of the last of 11 treatments at 10-12 kg ai/ha and had field-incurred residues of 13 mg/kg. Four samples were taken for analysis after 0, 1, 7, 28, 80, 171, 266, 300, 328, 363, 425, 485, 544, 601, 663 and 726 days storage. There was a 22% per year decline in chlorothalonil residues. This was not, however, a clear linear decline, rather a 'shift' at 266 days preceded and followed by apparent stability.

APPRAISAL

Chlorothalonil was first evaluated in 1974. This evaluation has been prepared as part of the programme of periodic reviews agreed by the CCPR.

Information on current GAP and residue trials data were made available to the Meeting by one of the manufacturers; GAP information was also provided by Australia, Canada and the EC.

At the initiation of this review there were 35 MRLs for chlorothalonil; all were CXLs except the MRL for grapes which was at step 7B.

The fate of chlorothalonil has been studied in lettuce, tomato, carrot and celery. Chlorothalonil was the major characterised component of the residue in all cases; small amounts of 4-hydroxy-2, 5, 6-trichloroisophthalonitrile (SDS-3701) were also found.

Data from supervised residue trials carried out in a number of countries and on a range of crops were available.

No GAP was reported for citrus fruit, so the Meeting recommended that the CXL of 5mg/kg should be withdrawn.

The CXL of 10 mg/kg for cherries was proposed in 1974; it was based on US GAP with a 7-day pre-harvest interval and residue data from trials carried out in the USA. Since use so close to harvest is no longer GAP in the USA the CXL is obsolete and the Meeting considered that it should be withdrawn. Results from a series of trials carried out in accordance with current GAP in the USA were available at the Meeting. Residues up to 0.5 mg/kg were found. The Meeting recommended that an MRL of 0.5 mg/kg was appropriate for this use.

For peaches, the CXL of 25 mg/kg was again based on US GAP permitting use up to 7 days before harvest and residue trials data from the USA. This US GAP is now obsolete and therefore the CXL was not acceptable. Supervised trial data on peaches from Italy, Spain and the USA were made available to the Meeting. Residues up to 0.12 mg/kg were found when chlorothalonil was used according to current US GAP, and up to 0.98 mg/kg in Italian trials within Spanish and Greek (1.5 kg ai/ha and 14-15 days PHI) and Italian (1.0 kg ai/ha and 21-day PHI) GAP. The Meeting recommended an MRL of 1 mg/kg for peaches.

Chlorothalonil residues up to 4.1 mg/kg were found in cranberries harvested 50-70 days after treatment at 5.9 kg ai/ha (within US GAP) in a series of trials in the USA in the 1980s. The Meeting confirmed the MRL of 5 mg/kg for cranberry.

The CXLs of 25 mg/kg for raspberries (red and black) and currants (black, red and white) and 10 mg/kg for blackberries were based on GAP and trials in the USA. Since this GAP is no longer current the Meeting recommended that these CXLs should be withdrawn.

For grapes, the draft MRL, at step 7B, is 10 mg/kg. This proposal was based on Austrian GAP of 0.11 kg ai/ha with a PHI of 7 days and on data from supervised trials carried out in Germany; this GAP is no longer current. A 1.6 kg ai/ha, 7-day PHI GAP has been reported for Australia and in one trial in 1973/4 chlorothalonil residues up to 5.6 mg/kg were found in supervised trials after treatment within this GAP. However, GAP in France (0.4 kg ai/ha, 30 days PHI) yielded much more recent data that were consistent and were deemed more suitable as the basis for a recommendation. The Meeting therefore recommended an MRL of 0.5 mg/kg, based on the data from France.

For banana the GAP on which the CXL of 0.2 mg/kg was based is not clearly described in the 1973 evaluations. The data base considered by the present Meeting was not sufficient to support a soundly based MRL and the Meeting recommended that the CXL should be withdrawn.

The CXL of 5 mg/kg for bulb onions was based on trials data for green onions; the Meeting therefore concluded that it needed revision. Chlorothalonil residues up to 0.57 mg/kg were found in bulb onions harvested 7 days after treatment at 1.5 - 1.75 kg ai/ha (within US GAP) and up to 0.52 mg/kg 14 days after treatment at 1.5 kg ai/ha (within other countries' GAP), although most results were lower than these. The Meeting recommended an MRL of 0.5 mg/kg.

The CXL of 5 mg/kg for cabbages was based on residue data from US trials where crops were harvested on the day of the last treatment. Since current US GAP specifies a minimum PHI of 7 days the CXL should be revised. Chlorothalonil residues

up to 0.7 mg/kg were reported from trials using treatment regimes within US, UK and Irish GAP. The Meeting recommended an MRL of 1 mg/kg.

For broccoli, the CXL of 5 mg/kg is based on a 7-day PHI and results from US trials. This GAP is still current in the USA and Canada but although results were reported from two further US trials where treatments were within GAP, the Meeting considered the data were inadequate and recommended that the CXL of 5 mg/kg should be withdrawn.

The CXL of 5 mg/kg for Brussels sprouts was based on a PHI of 7 days and data from the USA. Chlorothalonil residues up to 4.3 mg/kg were reported for samples harvested 6-7 days after treatment at 1.3-2.5 kg ai/ha. The Meeting recommended that the CXL should be maintained.

For cauliflower, the CXL of 5 mg/kg was based on a PHI of 7 days and residue data from the USA. Chlorothalonil residues up to 0.47 mg/kg were reported from trials where treatments were within current GAP in the USA, UK and Ireland. The Meeting recommended an MRL of 1 mg/kg.

For kale, the CXL of 10 mg/kg was based on US GAP and residue data. Since this GAP is no longer current the Meeting recommended withdrawal of the CXL.

The CXL of 5 mg/kg for melons except watermelon was based on US trials data and a 1-day PHI. Chlorothalonil residues up to 1.45 mg/kg were found in samples treated in accordance with US GAP. The Meeting recommended an MRL of 2 mg/kg but recognised that additional data on residues on different types of melons would be desirable.

For cucumbers, the CXL of 5 mg/kg is based on a 1-day PHI. Chlorothalonil residues up to 4.3 mg/kg were reported from trials where treatments were in accordance with US GAP and the Meeting recommended that the CXL should be maintained.

The CXLs of 5 mg/kg for summer and winter squash and pumpkins were based on a 1-day PHI. Chlorothalonil residues up to 3.6 mg/kg were found in samples of summer and winter squash treated in accordance with current US GAP. The Meeting recommended that MRLs of 5 mg/kg were appropriate for summer and winter squash. No residue data were presented for pumpkins and therefore that CXL should be withdrawn, although pumpkins appear to be covered in the Codex Classification by the MRL for winter squash.

For sweet corn, the CXL of 1 mg/kg was based on a 1-day PHI which is no longer GAP. Residue data reflecting current US GAP were available from only one trial; these were not sufficient to estimate a maximum residue level. The Meeting recommended withdrawal of the CXL.

The CXL for tomato is 5 mg/kg, based on US data and GAP.

Chlorothalonil residues up to 4.6 mg/kg were found in trials where treatments were within GAP. The Meeting recommended that the CXL should be maintained.

The CXL of 10 mg/kg for peppers was based on US GAP and residue data. Since use on peppers is no longer GAP in the USA the Meeting recommended withdrawal of this recommendation.

The CXLs for endive, lettuce and witloof chicory (sprouts) were based on US GAP and residue data. Since use on these crops is no longer GAP in the USA the Meeting recommended withdrawal of these CXLs.

The CXL of 5 mg/kg for common bean (pods and/or immature seeds) was based on US GAP and residue data. In supervised trials residue levels in crops treated in accordance with GAP were up to 3.1 mg/kg. The Meeting recommended that the CXL should be maintained.

The CXL for lima beans (dry) was based on US GAP and residue data. Since this use is no longer GAP in the USA the Meeting recommended withdrawal of the CXL.

The CXL of 1 mg/kg for carrots was based on GAP and residue data from the USA. Residues up to 0.96 mg/kg were reported from trials where treatments were within GAP. The Meeting recommended that the CXL should be maintained.

The CXL of 0.1 mg/kg for potato was based on a 0-day PHI. Residues up to 0.18 mg/kg were reported from trials where treatments were within GAP although only one result exceeded 0.1 mg/kg. The Meeting recommended an MRL of 0.2 mg/kg.

The CXL of 1 mg/kg for sugar beet was based on a 1-day PHI; this is no longer GAP. Residues reflecting current GAP were up to 0.1 mg/kg in the root. The Meeting recommended an MRL of 0.2 mg/kg for sugar beet root. Corresponding residues in the leaves reached 14 mg/kg. The Meeting recommended an MRL of 20 mg/kg for sugar beet leaves or tops but realised that appropriate animal transfer studies were lacking.

The CXL of 15 mg/kg for celery was based on a 7-day PHI. Chlorothalonil residues up to 9.8 mg/kg were found in trials where treatments reflected current GAP. The Meeting recommended an MRL of 10 mg/kg.

Barley grain from crops treated in accordance with GAP contained up to 1.4 mg chlorothalonil/kg. Most results however were much lower than this. The Meeting decided that the data reflecting use up to 1.4 kg ai/ha were not sufficient to support a soundly based MRL and recommended an MRL of 0.1 mg/kg for grain, based on application rates up to 1.0 kg ai/ha. The Meeting also recommended an MRL of 20 mg/kg for barley straw; animal transfer studies are desirable.

Wheat grain from crops treated in accordance with GAP

contained up to 0.09 mg chlorothalonil/kg. The Meeting recommended that the MRL should be established at 0.1 mg/kg for grain and 20 mg/kg for wheat straw, recognising that animal transfer studies were desirable.

GAP was not reported for any other cereal grain. The Meeting recommended that the CXL for cereal grains should be withdrawn.

The CXLs for whole peanut and peanut kernels were based on a 1-day PHI; this is no longer GAP. Chlorothalonil residues up to 0.03 mg/kg were found in crops treated in accordance with current GAP. The Meeting recommended an MRL of 0.05 mg/kg for peanut and withdrawal of the CXL for whole peanuts.

Information on residue distribution between the inedible and edible portions of the commodity was available for banana; chlorothalonil is essentially a surface residue and transfer to pulp was insignificant.

Processing studies are available for cherry, peach, grape, cabbage, cucumber, squash, tomato, snap bean, carrot, potato, celery and peanut.

Washing cherries, peaches, cucumbers, tomatoes and snap beans removed 45-95% of the residue. Residue reductions of 75-98% occurred in cabbages, cucumbers, tomatoes and celery during distribution from the farm gate to retail outlets. Residue levels in canned cherries, canned pickled cucumber and tomato juice made from treated crops were very low (1-2% of initial residues). Residues were not found in canned peach puree, wine, squash-based baby food, tomato paste, canned or frozen snap beans, carrot-based baby food, potato crisps, dried potato or refined peanut oil prepared from crops with incurred residues.

Chlorothalonil residues were stable during freezer storage for one year in cherries, cucumbers, tomatoes, carrots, potatoes, celery and wheat grain.

RECOMMENDATIONS

On the basis of the data on residues from supervised trials the Meeting concluded that the residue levels listed below are suitable for establishing maximum residue limits.

Definition of the residue: chlorothalonil

| Commodity | | Recommended MRL (mg/kg) | | PHI on which based, days |
|-----------|--------|-------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|
| CCN | Name | New | Previous | |
| FI 0327 | Banana | W | 0.2 | - |
| GC 0640 | Barley | 0.1 | 0.2 (cereals) | 49 |

| Commodity | | Recommended MRL (mg/kg) | | PHI on which based, days |
|-----------|--|-------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|
| CCN | Name | New | Previous | |
| AS 0640 | Barley straw and fodder, dry | 20 | - | 49 |
| FB 0264 | Blackberries | W | 10 | - |
| VB 0400 | Broccoli | W | 5 | 7 |
| VB 0402 | Brussels sprouts | 5 | 5 | 7 |
| VB 0041 | Cabbages, Head | 1 | 5 | 7 |
| VR 0577 | Carrot | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| VB 0404 | Cauliflower | 1 | 5 | 7 |
| VS 0404 | Celery | 10 | 15 | 7 |
| GC 0080 | Cereal grains | W | 0.2 | - |
| FS 0013 | Cherries | 0.5 | 10 | * |
| FC 0001 | Citrus fruits | W | 5 | - |
| VP 0526 | Common bean (pods and/or immature seeds) | 5 | 5 | 7 |
| FB 9265 | Cranberry | 5 | 5 | 50 |
| VC 0424 | Cucumber | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| FB 0021 | Currants (Black, Red, White) | W | 25 | - |
| VL 0476 | Endive | W | 10 | - |
| FB 0269 | Grapes | 0.5 | 10 | 30 |
| VL 0480 | Kale | W | 10 | - |
| VL 0482 | Lettuce, Head | W | 10 | - |
| VD 0534 | Lima bean (dry) | W | 0.5 | - |
| VC 0046 | Melons, except watermelon | 2 | 5 | 0 |
| VA 0385 | Onion, Bulb | 0.5 | 5 | 14 |
| FS 0247 | Peach | 1 | 25 | 14-21 |
| SO 0703 | Peanut, whole | W | 0.5 | - |
| SD 0697 | Peanut | 0.05 | 0.1 | 14 |
| VO 00051 | Peppers | W | 10 | - |
| VR 0589 | Potato | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0 |
| VC 0429 | Pumpkins | W | 5 | - |
| FB 0272 | Raspberries (Red, Black) | W | 10 | - |
| VC 0431 | Squash, summer | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| VR 0596 | Sugar beet | 0.2 | 1 | 14 |
| AV 0596 | Sugar beet leaves or tops | 20 | - | 14 |
| VO 0447 | Sweet corn | W | 1 | |
| VO 0448 | Tomato | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| GC 0654 | Wheat | 0.1 | 0.2 (cereals) | 41-45 |
| AS 0654 | Wheat straw and fodder, dry | 20 | - | 41-45 |
| VC 0433 | Winter squash | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| VS 0469 | Witloof chicory (sprouts) | W | 10 | - |

* last use at shuck (cot) fall.

FURTHER WORK OR INFORMATION

Desirable

1. Additional residue data from supervised trials on different types of melons.
2. Animal transfer studies assuming a residue equivalent to the recommended MRL of 20 mg/kg in sugar beet leaves or tops, barley straw and wheat straw.
3. Additional residue data on grapes treated according to GAP in Australia.

REFERENCES

References to supervised trials are cited by number in the Tables. References to the fate of residues are cited by authors' names in the text.

Reference List 1 (numerical) gives complete references to all the citations in the monograph. List 2 (alphabetical) comprises the references to the fate of residues. Each reference gives only the author(s), the year, and the number in List 1 under which the complete reference will be found.

All references are unpublished.

1. Numerical list

1. Stallard, D.E., Marks, A.F. and Ignatoski, J.A. 1982. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, HCB and PCBN on cherries - early season application of Bravo 500. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 532-3CR-81-0204-00.
2. Nelsen, T.R., Ballee, D.L., Marks, A.F. and Ignatoski, J.A. 1982. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, HCB and PCBN on sweet cherries - early season application, 1982. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 604-3CR-82-0166-002.
3. Rose, C.A. and Ballee, D.L., 1987. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN on mechanically harvested tart cherries - 1984. ISK Biotech. Document Number; 1382-86-0075-CR-001.
4. Szalkowski, M.B., Ballee, D.L., Stallard, D.E. and Ignatoski, J.A. 1980. Residues of chlorothalonil and SDS 3701 on peaches. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 126-3CR-80-0107-001.
5. Ballee, D.E., Stallard, D.E. and Ignatoski, J.A. 1980. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, HCB and PCBN on peaches. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 126-3CR-80-0173-001.
6. Stallard, D.E., Marks, A.F. and Ignatoski, J.A. 1982. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, HCB and PCBN on peaches. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 532-3CR-80-0173-005.
7. Dillon, K.A., Ballee, D.L., Marks A.F. and Ignatoski, J.A. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, HCB and PCBN on peaches following aerial application. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 656-3CR-083-0124-001.
8. Kenyon R.G. and Ballee, D.E. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851 HCB and PCBN on peaches. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 1441-86-0097-CR-001.
9. Macgregor, D.C. 1989. Determination of residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN in crops: peaches and nectarines. ISK Biotech. Document Number: HLA-6012-241B.
10. Nangniot, P. 1990a. Residues of chlorothalonil and carbendazim on peaches. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 90/457.

11. Nangniot, P. 1990b. Residues of chlorothalonil and carbendazim on peaches. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 90/458.
12. Anon. 1991a. Residues of chlorothalonil on peaches. ISK Biotech. Document Number: CRP/91/585A.
13. Anon. 1991b. Residues of chlorothalonil on peaches. ISK Biotech. Document Number: CRP/91/604A.
14. Anon. 1991c. Residues of chlorothalonil on peaches. ISK Biotech. Document Number: CRP/91/605A.
15. Nangniot, P. 1990c. Residues of chlorothalonil on peaches. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 90/444.
16. Nangniot, P. 1990d. Residues of chlorothalonil on peaches. ISK Biotech. Document Number 90/445.
17. Nangniot, P. 1990e. Residues of chlorothalonil on peaches. ISK Biotech. Document Number 90/470.
18. Anon. 1992a. Residues of chlorothalonil on peaches. ISK Biotech. Document Number CRP/92/945A
19. Anon. 1992b. Residues of chlorothalonil on peaches. ISK Biotech. Document Number CRP/92/946A.
20. Dillon, K.A. 1985. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, HCB and PCBN on cranberries - 1982, 1983, 1984. ISK Biotech. Document Number 698-3CR-84-0070-001.
21. Macgregor, D.C. 1990. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN in crops: cranberries. ISK Biotech. Document Number HCA-6012-2416.
22. Anon. 1992. Residues of chlorothalonil in grapes. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 92/1208.
23. Anon. 1992. Residues of chlorothalonil in grapes. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 91/0792.
24. Anon. 1992. Residues of chlorothalonil in grapes. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 92/1272.
25. Anon. 1983. Residues of chlorothalonil in grapes. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 83/136.
26. Anon. 1983. Residues of chlorothalonil in grapes. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 83/137.
27. Anon. Undated. Pesticide residues. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 10307.
28. Anon. 1985. Chlorothalonil and folpet on grapes. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 85/178.
29. Anon. 1987. Chlorothalonil and folpet on grapes. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 87/248.
30. Anon. 1988. Decline curve for chlorothalonil on grapes. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 88/288.
31. Anon. 1988. Decline curve for chlorothalonil on grapes. ISK Biotech. Document number: 88/289.
32. Anon 1988. Decline curve for chlorothalonil on grapes. ISK Biotech.

Document number: 88/290.

33 Anon. 1988. Residues of chlorothalonil, DAC 3701 and folpet on grapes. ISK Biotech. Document number: 88/291.

34. Anon. 1988. Residues of chlorothalonil, DAC 3701 and folpet on grapes. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 88/292.

35 Anon. 1988. Residues of chlorothalonil, DAC 3701 and folpet on grapes. ISK biotech. Document Number: 88/293.

36. Anon. 1988. Residues of chlorothalonil, DAC 3701 and folpet on grapes. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 88/294.

37. Anon. 1988. Residues of chlorothalonil, DAC 3701 and folpet on grapes. ISK Biotech. Document Number 88/295.

38. Anon. 1988. Residues of chlorothalonil, DAC 3701 and folpet on grapes. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 88/296.

39. Anon. 1988. Residues of chlorothalonil, DAC 3701 and folpet on grapes. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 88/297.

40 Anon. 1988. Residues of chlorothalonil, DAC 3701 and folpet on grapes. ISK Biotech. Document Number 88/298.

41. Anon. 1988. Residues of chlorothalonil, DAC 3701 and folpet in grapes, must and wine. Document Number 88/299.

42. Anon. 1988. Residues of chlorothalonil, DAC 3701 and folpet in grapes, must, lees and wine. Document Number: 88/300.

43. Ballee, D.L., Szalkowski, M.B., Stallard, D.E. and Ignatoski, J.A. 1980. A cooperative study for the determination of residues of chlorothalonil and DS-3701 on banana fruit. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 075-3CR-79-0056-001.

44. Kenyon, R.G., Ballee, D.L., Marks, A.F. and Ignatoski, J.A. 1986. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, HCB and PCBN on bananas. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 743-3CR-85-0022-001.

45. Anon. Undated and untitled. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 1127/78.

46. King, C. 1986. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN on bulb onions - 1985 Texas and California. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 1158-86-0013-CR-001.

47. King, C. and Ballee, D.L. 1987. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN on dry bulb onions, Michigan and New York 1984-1986. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 1158-86-0013-CR-003.

48. Anon. Undated and untitled. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 297/82.

49. Anon., undated and untitled. ISK Biotech. Document Number: AY/36.

50. Nangniot, P. 1982, Chlorothalonil on onions. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 81/64.

51 Nangniot, P. 1982. Chlorothalonil on onions. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 81/65.

52 Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on onions. ISK Biotech. Document Number: CRP/91/609A.

53. Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on onions. ISK Biotech. Document Number: CRP/91/610.

54. Anon. Undated and untitled. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 1460/81.
55. Anon. Undated and untitled. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 1462/81.
56. McKenzie, J. 1992. Determination of concentrations of chlorothalonil in onions. Source: UK. ISK Biotech. Document Number: ISK 0391.
57. McKenzie, J. 1992. Determination of concentrations of chlorothalonil in onions. Source: UK. ISK Biotech. Document Number: ISK 0392.
58. 1988, Pesticide Research Report, Agriculture Canada.
59. King, C. and Ballee, D.L. 1987. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN on cabbage. ISK Biotech Corporation USA. Document Number: 1423-86-0095-CR-001.
60. Marks, A.F. 1986. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN on cabbage. ISK Biotech Corporation USA. Document Number: 1163-86-0017-CR-992.
61. King, C. and Ballee, D.L. 1987. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN on cabbage - Florida, 1987. ISK Biotech Corporation, USA. Document Number: 1420-86-0095-CR-002.
62. McKenzie, J. 1991. Determination of concentrations of chlorothalonil in cabbages. Source: UK. ISK Biotech. Document Number ISK 0791.
63. McKenzie, J. 1992. Determination of concentrations of chlorothalonil in cabbage. Source: UK ISK Biotech. Document Number ISK 0792.
64. Higgins, W.P., Dillon, K.A., Ballee, D.L. and Marks, A.F. 1986. Residues of chlorothalonil degradation products and formulation impurities on 1986 broccoli samples from Soledad, California. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 1165-86-0053-CR-001.
65. Higgins, W.P., Dillon, K.A. and Ballee, D.L. 1987. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN on broccoli - 1985. ISK Biotech, Document Number: 1165-86-0027-CR-003.
66. Higgins, W.P., Dillon, K.A. and Ballee, D.L. 1987. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN on broccoli samples from Michigan and New York ,1985 and 1986. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 1165-86-0053-CR-002.
67. King, C. and Ballee, D.L. 1988. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN on broccoli 1987. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 1715-87-0122-CR-001.
68. King, C. and Ballee, D.L. 1987. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN on Brussels sprouts - 1986. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 1509-87-0022-CR-001.
69. King, C. and Ballee, D.L. 1988. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN on Brussels sprouts-1987. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 1716-88-0003-CR-001.
70. Anon. Undated and untitled. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 103/77
71. Anon. Undated and untitled. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 90/375
72. Anon. Undated and untitled. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 90/374
73. Anon. Undated and untitled. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 90/373
74. Anon. Undated and untitled. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 90/408

75. Anon. Undated and untitled. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 90/407
76. Anon. Undated and untitled. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 90/406
77. McKenzie, J. 1991. Determination of concentrations of chlorothalonil in Brussels sprouts. Source: UK. ISK Biotech. Document Number: ISK 0991
78. Marks, A.F. and Ignatoski, J.A. Determination of residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN on cauliflowers - 1985. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 1162-86-0014-CR-002
79. Kenyon, R.G., Ballee, D.L. and Marks, A.F. 1986. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN on cauliflower - 1985. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 1162-86-0014-CR-002-001.
80. McKenzie, J. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil in cauliflower. Source UK. ISK Biotech. Document Number: ISK 0691.
81. McKenzie, J. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil in cauliflower. Source UK. ISK Biotech. Document Number: ISK 0692.
82. Kenyon, R.G., Ballee, D.L., Marks, A.F. and Ignatoski, J.A. Residues of chlorothalonil, HCB and PCBN on honeydew melons - 1980. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 336-3CR-80-0235-001.
83. Anon. Undated and untitled. ISK Biotech. Document Number: MEL/F/1
84. Anon. Undated and untitled. ISK Biotech. Document Number: MEL/F/2
85. Anon. Undated and untitled. ISK Biotech. Document Number: MEL/F/3
86. Anon. Undated and untitled. ISK Biotech. Document Number: MEL/F/4
87. Anon. Undated and untitled. ISK Biotech. Document Number: CRP/91/578A
88. Ballee, D.L., Szalkowski, M.B., Stallard, D.E. and Ignatoski, J.A. 1980. Residues of chlorothalonil, HCB and PCBN on cucumbers following field application of Bravo 500 by sprinkler irrigation. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 336-3CR-80-0181-001.
89. Kenyon, R.G. and Ballee, D.L. 1987. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN on cucumbers - 1986. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 1474-87-0001-CR-001.
90. Kenyon, R.G., Ballee, D.L. and Marks, A.F. 1987. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN on cucumbers - Florida 1987. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 1474:87-0001-CR-002.
91. Fitzgerald, T.J., Kenyon, R.G., Ballee, D.L. and Marks, A.F. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN on cucumbers - California, 1987. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 1474-87-0001-CR-003.
92. Anon. 1989. Studies on 'Daconil 500 Flow' on mildew in cucurbits. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 31-1 ONDES
93. Anon. 1989. Chlorothalonil on cucumbers. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 89/355
94. Anon. 1989. Chlorothalonil on cucumbers. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 89/354.
95. Anon. 1989. Chlorothalonil on cucumbers. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 89/353.
96. Anon. 1989. Decline for chlorothalonil on cucumbers. ISK Biotech.

Document Number: 13/10/89

97. Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on cucumbers. ISK Biotech.
Document Number: CRP/91/514A

98. Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on cucumbers. ISK Biotech.
Document Number: CRP/515A

99. Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on cucumbers. ISK Biotech.
Document Number: CRP/92/798A

100. Anon. 1992. Residues of chlorothalonil on cucumbers. ISK Biotech.
Document Number: CRP/92/799A

101. Anon. 1992. Residues of chlorothalonil on cucumbers. ISK Biotech.
Document Number: CRP/92/800A

102. Anon. 1992. Residues of chlorothalonil on cucumbers. ISK Biotech.
Document Number: CRP/92/801A

103. Anon. 1992. Residues of chlorothalonil on cucumbers. ISK Biotech.
Document Number: CRP/92/802A

104. Anon. 1992. Residues of chlorothalonil on cucumbers. ISK Biotech.
Document Number: CRP/92/803A

105. Anon. 1992. Residues of chlorothalonil on cucumbers. ISK Biotech.
Document Number: CRP/92/804A

106. Anon. 1992. Residues of chlorothalonil on cucumbers. ISK Biotech.
Document Number: CRP/92/805A

107. Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on cucumbers. ISK Biotech.
Document Number: CRP/91/53

108. Marks, A.F. 1986. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN on summer squash - 1985. Biotech. Document Number: 1160-86-0032-CR-002

109. Marks, A.F. 1986. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN on winter squash. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 1161-86-0041-CR-002

110. Kenyon, R.G., Ballee, D.L. and Marks, A.F. 1976. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN on sweetcorn - 1985. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 1166-86-0047-CR-001.

111. Kenyon, R.G., Ballee, D.L. and Marks, A.F. 1986. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN on 'Bravo 500' treated tomatoes. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 1142-85-0063-CR-003.

112. Marks, A.F. and Ignatoski, J.A. 1986. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, HCB and PCBN on 'SDS-63049' treated tomatoes. ISK Biotech.
Document Number: 740-3CR-85-0062-002

113. Marks, A.F. and Ignatoski, J.A. 1986. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN on tomatoes. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 1156-86-0008-CR-002

114. Anon. 1989. Residues of chlorothalonil on tomatoes. ISK Biotech.
Document Number: 89/350.

115. Anon. 1989. Residues of chlorothalonil on tomatoes. ISK Biotech.
Document Number 89/351

116. Anon. 1989. Residues of chlorothalonil on tomatoes. ISK Biotech.

Document Number 89/352

117 Anon. 1990. Residues of chlorothalonil on tomatoes. ISK Biotech.
Document Number 90/380

118 Anon. 1990. Residues of chlorothalonil on tomatoes. ISK Biotech.
Document Number 90/381

119 Anon. 1990. Residues of chlorothalonil on tomatoes. ISK Biotech.
Document Number 90/382

120 Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on tomatoes. ISK Biotech.
Document Number CRP/91/506

121 Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on tomatoes. ISK Biotech.
Document Number CRP/91/507

122 Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on tomatoes. ISK Biotech.
Document Number CRP/91/549A

123 Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on tomatoes. ISK Biotech.
Document Number CRP/91/550A

124 Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on tomatoes. ISK Biotech.
Document Number CRP/91/551A

125 Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on tomatoes. ISK Biotech.
Document Number CRP/91/552A

126 Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on tomatoes. ISK Biotech.
Document Number CRP/91/553A

127 Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on tomatoes. ISK Biotech.
Document number CRP/91/556A

128 Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on tomatoes. ISK Biotech.
Document Number CRP/91/558

129 Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on tomatoes. ISK Biotech.
Document Number CRP/91/559

130 Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on tomatoes. ISK Biotech.
Document Number CRP/91/560

131 Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on tomatoes. ISK Biotech.
Document Number CRP/91/561

132 Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on tomatoes. ISK Biotech.
Document Number CRP/91/562

133 Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on tomatoes. ISK Biotech.
Document Number CRP/91/563

134 Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on tomatoes in greenhouses. ISK
Biotech. Document Number CRP/91/574A

135 Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on tomatoes in greenhouses. ISK
Biotech. Document Number CRP/91/575A

136 Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on tomatoes. ISK Biotech.
Document Number CRP/91/653A.

137. Anon. 1992. Residues of chlorothalonil on tomatoes. ISK Biotech.
Document Number CRP/91/655A

138 Anon. 1992. Residues of chlorothalonil on tomatoes. ISK Biotech.

Document Number CRP/91/656A.

139. Nangniot, P. 1990. Untitled. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 90/446
140. Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on tomatoes grown under protection. ISK Biotech. Document Number: CRP/92/504A.
141. Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on tomatoes grown under protection. ISK Biotech. Document Number: CRP/92/505.
142. Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on tomatoes grown under protection. ISK Biotech. Document Number: CRP/91/516
143. Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on tomatoes. ISK Biotech. Document Number: CRP/91/511.
144. King, C., Ballee, D.L. and Marks, A.F. 1986. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS0-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN on snapbeans. ISK Biotech. Document Reference: 1321-86-0046-CR-001.
145. King, C., Ballee, D.L. and Marks, A.F. 1986. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS0-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN on snapbeans. ISK Biotech. Document Reference: 1321-86-0046-CR-002.
146. Nangniot, P. 1989. Residues of chlorothalonil on beans. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 89/360.
147. Nangniot, P. 1989. Residues of chlorothalonil on beans. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 89/361.
148. Nangniot, P. 1990. Residues of chlorothalonil on beans. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 90/393.
- 149 Nangniot, P. 1990. Residues of chlorothalonil on beans. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 90/394
- 150 Nangniot, P. 1990. Residues of chlorothalonil on beans. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 90/395.
151. Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on beans. ISK Biotech. Document Number: CRP/91/516A.
152. Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on beans. ISK Biotech. Document Number: CRP/91/517A.
153. Nangniot, P. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil and carbendazim on beans harvested dry. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 86/214
154. Nangniot P. 1986. Residues of chlorothalonil on beans. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 89/229
155. Nangniot P. 1986. Residues of chlorothalonil on beans. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 89/230
156. Nangniot P. 1989. Residues of chlorothalonil on beans. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 89/347
157. Nangniot P. 1989. Residues of chlorothalonil on beans. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 89/348
158. Nangniot P. 1990. Residues of chlorothalonil on beans. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 89/392
159. McKenzie, J. 1991, Determination of concentration of chlorothalonil in field beans and broad beans. Source UK ISK Biotech. Document Number: ISK 0591.

160. McKenzie, J. 1991. Determination of concentrations of chlorothalonil in field beans. Source: UK. ISK Biotech. Document Number: ISK 0592
161. Ballee, D.L., Stallard, D.E. and Ignatoski, J.A. 1981. Residues of chlorothalonil, HCB and PCBN on carrots resulting from application of formulated chlorothalonil by sprinkler irrigation. ISK Biotech. Document Reference: 336-3CR-81-0156-001.
162. Kenyon, R.G., Ballee, D.L., Marks, A.F. and Ignatoski, J.A. 1985. Residues of chlorothalonil, HCB and PCBN on carrots - 1979-80. ISK Biotech. Document Reference : 334-3CR-81-0172-001.
163. Dillon, K.A., Ballee, D.L. and Marks, A.F. 1986. Residues of chlorothalonil, degradation products and formulation impurities on 1986 carrot samples from Soledad, California. ISK Biotech. Document Reference: 1319-86-0044-CR-001.
164. Higgins, W.P., Dillon, K.A. and Ballee, D.L. 1987. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN on 1986 carrot samples from Texas, Washington and Wisconsin. ISK Biotech. Document Reference: 1319-86-0044-CR-002.
165. Anon. Undated and untitled. ISK Biotech. Document Reference: 692/74.
166. Ballee, D.L., Stallard, D.E. and Ignatoski, J.A. 1981. Residues of chlorothalonil, HCB and PCBN on potatoes following field applications of 'Bravo 500' by sprinkler irrigation or by aerial application. ISK Biotech. Document Reference: 336-3CR-81-0044-001.
167. Ballee, D.L., Stallard, D.E. and Ignatoski, J.A. 1981. Residues of chlorothalonil, HCB and PCBN on potatoes. ISK Biotech. Document Reference: 334-3CR-81-0043-001.
168. Kenyon, R.G., Ballee, D.L. and Marks, A.F. 1986. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN on potatoes. ISK Biotech. Document Reference: 1144-85-0061-CR-003.
169. Fitzgerald, T.J., Kenyon, R.G. and Ballee, D.L. 1987. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN on potatoes. ISK Biotech. Document Reference: 1331-86-0052-CR-001.
170. Nangniot, P. 1981. Chlorothalonil in potatoes. ISK Biotech. Document Number 81/015.
171. Nangniot, P. 1981. Chlorothalonil in potatoes. ISK Biotech. Document Number 81/017.
172. Nangniot, P. 1987. Chlorothalonil in potatoes. ISK Biotech. Document Number 81/258.
173. Anon. 1981. Chlorothalonil in potatoes. ISK Biotech. Document Number CRP/91/576.
174. Anon. 1981. Chlorothalonil in potatoes. ISK Biotech. Document Number CRP/91/577.
175. Nangniot, P. 1989. Chlorothalonil in potatoes. ISK Biotech. Document Number 89/356.
176. Nangniot, P. 1989. Chlorothalonil in potatoes. ISK Biotech. Document Number 89/357
177. Nangniot, P. 1989. Chlorothalonil in potatoes. ISK Biotech. Document Number 89/358.

178. Nangniot, P. 1989. Chlorothalonil in potatoes. ISK Biotech. Document Number 89/359.
179. McKenzie, J. 1991. Determination of concentrations of chlorothalonil in potatoes. Source UK. ISK Biotech. Document Number: ISK 0291.
180. McKenzie, J. 1991. Determination of concentrations of chlorothalonil in potatoes. Source UK. ISK Biotech. Document Number: ISK 0292.
181. Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil in sugar beet. ISK Biotech. Document Number: CRP/91/534.
182. Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil in sugar beet. ISK Biotech. Document Number: CRP/91/535.
183. Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil in sugar beet. ISK Biotech. Document Number: CRP/91/536.
184. Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil in sugar beet. ISK Biotech. Document Number: CRP/91/537.
185. Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil in sugar beet. ISK Biotech. Document Number: CRP/91/538.
186. Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil in sugar beet. ISK Biotech. Document Number: CRP/91/588.
187. Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil in sugar beet. ISK Biotech. Document number: CRP/91/589.
188. Ballee, D.L., Stallard, D.E. and Ignatoski, J.A. 1981. Residues of chlorothalonil, HCB and PCBN on celery resulting from application of formulated chlorothalonil by sprinkler irrigation. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 336-3CR-81-0083-001.
189. Kenyon R.G., Ballee, D.L. and Marks, A.F. 1986. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-4851, HCB and PCBN on celery - California - 1987. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 1320-86-0045-CR-001.
190. Fitzgerald, T.J., Kenyon, R.G. and Ballee, D.L. 1987. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN on celery -1986-7. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 1320-86-0045-CR-002.
191. Anon. Undated and untitled. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 80/310.
192. Anon. 1981. Chlorothalonil on celery. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 81/57
193. Nangniot, P. 1980. Chlorothalonil on celery. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 0/13
194. Nangniot, P. 1981. Chlorothalonil and carbendazim on celery. ISK Biotech. Document number: 81/58.
195. Nangniot, P. 1980. Chlorothalonil on cereals. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 008
196. Nangniot, P. 1980. Chlorothalonil on cereals. ISK Biotech. Document Number 009.
197. Nangniot, P. 1980. Chlorothalonil on cereals. ISK Biotech. Document Number 010.
198. Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on wheat. ISK Biotech. Document Number: CRP/91/593.

199. Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on wheat. ISK Biotech. Document Number: CRP/91/594.
200. Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on wheat. ISK Biotech. Document Number: CRP/91/595.
201. Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on wheat. ISK Biotech. Document Number: CRP/91/596.
202. Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on wheat. ISK Biotech. Document Number: CRP/91/597.
203. Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on wheat. ISK Biotech. Document Number: CRP/91/598.
204. Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on wheat. ISK Biotech. Document Number: CRP/91/599.
205. Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on wheat. ISK Biotech. Document Number: CRP/92/922
206. Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on wheat. ISK Biotech. Document Number: CRP/92/923.
207. Anon. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil on wheat. ISK Biotech. Document Number: CRP/92/924.
208. Anon. 1983. Untitled. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 3334
209. Anon. 1984. Untitled. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 4346.
210. Anon. 1983. Untitled. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 2883
211. Anon. 1984. Untitled. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 4345
212. Anon. 1983. Untitled. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 3377
213. Anon. 1983. Untitled. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 2983
214. Anon. 1983. Untitled. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 4347
215. Anon. 1983. Untitled. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 4344
216. Anon. 1983. Residues of chlorothalonil on spring wheat, ISK Biotech. Document Number: 83/119
217. Anon. 1983. Residues of chlorothalonil on winter wheat, ISK Biotech. Document Number: 83/120.
218. Anon. 1981. Chlorothalonil on cereals. ISK Biotech Document Number: 81/034.
219. Nangniot, P. 1989. Residues of chlorothalonil and carbendazim on spring barley. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 89/340
220. Nangniot, P. 1989. Residues of chlorothalonil and carbendazim on spring barley. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 89/339
221. Nangniot, P. 1982. Chlorothalonil and carbendazim on barley. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 82/72
222. Nangniot, P. 1982. Chlorothalonil and carbendazim on barley. ISK Biotech. Document Number; 82/73
223. Nangniot, P. 1982. Chlorothalonil and carbendazim on barley. ISK Biotech. Document Number; 82/74

224. Nangniot, P. 1989. Residues of chlorothalonil and carbendazim on winter barley. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 89/335.
225. Nangniot, P. 1989. Residues of chlorothalonil and carbendazim on winter barley. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 89/336.
226. Nangniot, P. 1989. Residues of chlorothalonil and carbendazim on winter barley. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 80/337.
227. Nangniot, P. 1989. Residues of chlorothalonil and carbendazim on winter barley. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 89/338.
228. McKenzie, J. 1991. Determination of concentrations of chlorolonil in winter barley, grain and straw. Source UK. ISK Biotech. Document Number: ISK 0191/4
229. Nangniot, P. 1989. Residues of chlorothalonil and carbendazim on winter wheat. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 89/333
230. Nangniot, P. 1989. Residue of chlorothalonil and carbendazim on winter wheat. ISK Biotech. Document Number 89/333.
231. Nangniot, P. 1989. Residues of chlorothalonil and carbendazim on winter wheat. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 89/332
232. Nangniot, P. 1990. Residues of chlorothalonil on winter wheat. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 90/391.
233. Nangniot, P. 1990. Residues of chlorothalonil on winter wheat. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 90/390.
234. Nangniot, P. 1990. Residues of chlorothalonil on winter wheat. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 90/389.
235. Nangniot, P. 1990. Residues of chlorothalonil on winter wheat. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 90/388.
236. Nangniot, P. 1990. Residues of chlorothalonil on winter wheat. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 90/387.
237. Nangniot, P. 1990. Residues of chlorothalonil on winter wheat. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 90/386.
238. Nangniot, P. 1990. Residues of chlorothalonil on winter wheat. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 90/385.
239. Nangniot, P. 1990. Residues of chlorothalonil on winter wheat. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 90/384.
240. Nangniot, P. 1990. Residues of chlorothalonil on winter wheat. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 90/383.
241. Nangniot, P. 1989. Residues of chlorothalonil on winter wheat, ISK Biotech. Document Number: 89/343
242. Nangniot, P. 1989. Residues of chlorothalonil on winter wheat, ISK Biotech. Document Number: 89/342
243. Nangniot, P. 1989. Residues of chlorothalonil on winter wheat, ISK Biotech. Document Number: 89/341
244. Brown, P.C. 1991. Determination of concentrations of chlorothalonil in winter wheat grain and straw. Source UK. ISK Biotech. Document Number: ISK 0191/1.

245. Brown, P.C. 1991. Determination of concentrations of chlorothalonil in winter wheat grain and straw. Source UK. ISK Biotech. Document Number: ISK 0191/2.
246. Brown, P.C. 1991. Determination of concentrations of chlorothalonil in winter wheat grain and straw. Source UK. ISK Biotech. Document Number: ISK 0191/3.
247. McKenzie, J. 1991. Determination of concentrations of chlorothalonil in winter wheat grain and straw. Source UK. ISK Biotech. Document Number: ISK 0191/5.
248. McKenzie, J. 1992. Determination of concentrations of chlorothalonil in winter wheat, grain and straw. Source UK. ISK Biotech. Document Number: ISK 0192/1
249. King, C. and Ballee, D.L. 1986. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN on peanut nut-meats and hulls- 1986. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 1424-86-0094-CR-001.
250. Nelsen, T.R., Marks, A.F. and Ignatoski, J.A. 1985. A plant metabolism study with 14C-chlorothalonil on lettuce. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 672-3EF-84-0014-001.
251. Nelsen, T.R. and Duane, W.C. 1988. A plant metabolism study with 14C-chlorothalonil on tomatoes. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 1184-85-0052-EF-001.
252. Nelsen, T.R., Marks A.F. and Baxter, R.A. 1987. A plant metabolism study with 14C-chlorothalonil on carrots. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 1186-86-0026-EF-001.
253. Huhtanen, K.L. 1992. A plant metabolism study with 14C-chlorothalonil on celery. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 3503-90-0184-EF-001.
254. Anon. Undated. Fate of chlorothalonil in the processing of cherries. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 000-3CR-77-2108-001.
255. Anon. Undated. Fate of chlorothalonil in the processing of peaches. ISK Biotech Document Number: 000-3CR-77-2109-001
256. King, C, Ballee, D.L., Marks, A.F. and Ignatoski, J.A. 1986. Residues of chlorothalonil on cabbage - dietary exposure study - 1985. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 728-3CR-85-0011-001.
257. King, C. and Ballee, D.L. 1987. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN on cucumbers - processing study 1985 and 1986. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 1351-86-0059-CR-001.
258. Marks, A.F. 1987. Determination of residues of chlorothalonil on cucumbers - dietary exposure study. ISK Biotech. Document number: 1137-850-0010-CR-002.
259. King, C. and Prince, P.M. 1990a. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN on winter squash-processing study- 1988. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 3185-89-0287-CR-001.
- 260 Anon. Undated. Fate of chlorothalonil residues in the processing of tomatoes. ISK Biotech. Document Number 000-3CR-77-2110-001
261. Szalkowski, M.B., Ballee D.L., Stallard, P.E. and Ignatoski, J.A. 1980. Effect of commercial processing on the residue of chlorothalonil on tomatoes. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 411-3CR-80-0054-001.
262. Dillon, K.A. 1986a. Residues of chlorothalonil on fresh market tomatoes-dietary exposure study. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 728-3CR-85-

0008-001.

263. Ballee, D.L., Szalkowski, M.B., Stallard, D.E. and Ignatoski, J.A. 1980. Residues of chlorothalonil and SDS-3701 among the products of snapbean processing. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 117-3CR-79-0136-001.

264. King, C. and Prince, P.M. 1990b. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN on carrots. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 3186-89-0287-CR-001.

265. Dillon, K.A., Ballee, D.L. and Marks, A.F. 1986. Residues of chlorothalonil, degradation products and formulation impurities on potatoes - processing study - 1985. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 1154-86-0004-CR-001.

266. Dillon K.A. 1986b. Residues of chlorothalonil on celery - dietary exposure study. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 728-3CR-85-0009-001.

267. Kenyon, R.G. and Ballee, D.L. 1987. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN on peanuts - processing study. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 1155-86-0006-CR-001.

268. King, C., Wiedmann, J.L. and Ballee, D.L. 1990a. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN in cherries from a stability study (field-incurred) - 1988 - one year interim report. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 3064-88-0068-CR-001.

269. Wiedmann, J.L. and Ballee, D.L. 1990. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN in cucumbers from a stability study (field-incurred) - 1988 - one year interim report. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 3064-88-0093-CR-001.

270. Kenyon, R. G., Wiedmann, J.L. and Ballee, D.L. 1990a. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN in tomatoes from a stability study (field-incurred) - 1988 - one year interim report. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 3064-88-0083-CR-001.

271. Rose, C., Dillon, K.A. and Ballee, D.L. 1990a. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN in carrots from a stability study (field-incurred) - 1988 - one year interim report. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 3064-88-0096-CR-001.

272. Rose, C., Dillon, K.A. and Ballee, D.L. 1990b. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN in potatoes from a stability study (field-incurred) - 1988 - one year interim report. ISK Biotech. Document Number 3064-88-0095-CR-001.

273. King C., Wiedman, J.L. and Ballee, D.L. 1990b. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN in celery from a stability study (field-incurred) - 1988 - one year interim report. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 3064-88-0136-CR-001.

274. Kenyon, R.G., Wiedmann, J.L. and Ballee, D.L. 1990b. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN in wheat grain from a stability study (field-incurred) - 1988 - one year interim report. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 3064-88-0070-CR-001.

275. King C., Wiedmann, J.L. and Ballee, D.L. 1991. Residues of chlorothalonil, SDS-3701, SDS-46851, HCB and PCBN in peanuts from a stability study (field-incurred) - 1991 - two year interim report. ISK Biotech. Document Number: 3064-88-0160-CR-001.

2. Alphabetical list

| | |
|--|-----|
| Anon. Undated. ISK Doc. No.: 000-3CR-77-2108-001..... | 254 |
| Anon. Undated. ISK Doc. No.: 000-3CR-77-2109-001..... | 255 |
| Anon. Undated. ISK Doc. No. 000-3CR-77-2110-001..... | 260 |
| Ballee, D.L., Szalkowski, M.B., Stallard, D.E. and Ignatoski, J.A. 1980. | 263 |
| Dillon, K.A. 1986a..... | 262 |
| Dillon, K.A. 1986b..... | 266 |
| Dillon, K.A., Ballee, D.L. and Marks, A.F. 1986..... | 265 |
| Huhtanen, K.L. 1992..... | 253 |
| Kenyon, R.G. and Ballee, D.L. 1987..... | 267 |
| Kenyon, R.G., Wiedmann, J.L. and Ballee, D.L. 1990a..... | 270 |
| Kenyon, R.G., Wiedmann, J.L. and Ballee, D.L. 1990b..... | 274 |
| King, C. and Ballee, D.L. 1987..... | 257 |
| King, C., Ballee, D.L., Marks, A.F. and Ignatoski, J.A. 1986..... | 256 |
| King, C. and Prince, P.M. 1990a..... | 259 |
| King, C. and Prince, P.M. 1990b..... | 264 |
| | |
| King, C., Wiedmann, J.L. and Ballee, D.L. 1990a..... | 268 |
| King, C., Wiedmann, J.L. and Ballee, D.L. 1990b..... | 273 |
| King, C., Wiedmann, J.L. and Ballee, D.L. 1991..... | 275 |
| Marks, A.F. 1987..... | 258 |
| Nelsen, T.R. and Duane, W.C. 1988..... | 251 |
| Nelsen, T.R., Marks, A.F. and Baxter, R.A. 1987..... | 252 |
| Nelsen, T.R., Marks, A.F. and Ignatoski, J.A. 1985..... | 250 |
| Rose, C., Dillon, K.A. and Ballee, D.L. 1990a..... | 271 |
| Rose, C., Dillon, K.A. and Ballee, D.L. 1990b..... | 272 |
| Szalkowski, M.B., Ballee D.L., Stallard, P.E. and Ignatoski, J.A. 1980.. | 261 |
| Wiedmann, J.L. and Ballee, D.L. 1990..... | 269 |